TO: The Honorable Claudia Wilken

Ronald v. Dellums Federal Building & United States Courthouse

c/o Class Action Clerk 1301 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94612

FROM: Charlotte North

Duke University – Women's Lacrosse (2017-19)
Boston College – Women's Lacrosse (2020-22)
USA Lacrosse Women's National Team (2022-Present)

NCAA Eligibility Center ID # 1602420503

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE

JAN 31 2025

CC: Leigh Ernst Friestedt

RE: Objection

In re: College Athlete NIL Litigation, Case No. 4:20-cv-03919 (N.D. Cal)

DATE: January 29, 2025

Dear Judge Wilken:

My name is **Charlotte North**, and I was a NCAA Division I student-athlete at **Duke University** for my freshman and sophomore years (2017-2019) and transferred to **Boston College** ("BC") for my junior, senior and graduate years (2020-2022). As Captain of the BC Women's Lacrosse team, our team advanced to consecutive NCAA Championship Games (2021-22). In 2021, BC Women's Lacrosse was the first team in our school's history to capture a NCAA National Championship.

During my career as a student-athlete at BC and Duke, I achieved the highest levels of recognition for my accomplishments both on the lacrosse field and in the classroom including: Tewaaraton Award (Best College Lacrosse Player), First Team All-American, ACC Athlete of the Year, ACC Lacrosse Scholar-Athlete of the Year, Eagle of the Year Award (Highest Honor for BC Athlete), ESPY Nominee (Best College Athlete – Women's Sports), and National Player of the Year.

I am currently a member of USA Lacrosse Women's National Team (World Champions 2022), USA National Box Lacrosse Team (World Champions 2024), and play professional women's lacrosse for the Women's Lacrosse League. Additionally, I am an ESPN broadcaster for NCAA DI Men's and Women's Lacrosse. My full player biography, athletic and academic achievements are detailed in Appendix A.

I am writing you today on behalf of not only myself, but more importantly, the millions of female student-athletes who deserve an equal opportunity to play sports in college and receive equal treatments and benefits under Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972 ("Title IX").

Based on the terms set forth in the Amended Settlement Agreement, I object to *In re: College Athlete NIL Litigation - House* Settlement, Case No. 4:20-cv-03919 (N.D. Cal), and request that you not approve the *House* Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing, scheduled for April 7, 2025.

According to the website www.collegeathletecompensation.com, my Estimated Allocation as a member of the Additional Sports Class (Women's Lacrosse) at BC and Duke are detailed below. Note, despite contacting Duke University, I have been unable to obtain my Estimated Allocation information for my freshman and sophomore years at Duke, so it is missing below.

Type of Damage	Charlotte North	- Est. Allocation	
Name, Image & Likeness (NIL)	Boston College	Duke	
Broadcast NIL	Not Eligible	Not Eligible	Object
Videogame NIL	Not Eligible	Not Eligible	
Lost NIL Opportunities	\$2,019.45	Missing	Object
Athletic Services	\$286.92	Missing	Object
Hubbard Academic / (Alston Award)	\$3,140.17	Missing	Object
Total Estimated Settlement Payment	\$5,446.54	Missing	

The *House* Distribution Plan is based on three **Settlement Damage Classes**:

- 1. Football & Men's Basketball Power 5
- 2. Women's Basketball Power 5
- 3. Additional Sports: Football, Men's Basketball, Women's Basketball and Other Sports

As illustrated by the chart below, my Estimated Allocation of \$5,446.54 is significantly lower than the average payment for other Classes: Football & Men's Basketball, and Women's Basketball.

Type of Damage	Estimate	d Settlement Dama	ages			
Name, Image & Likeness (NIL)	Football & Men's Basketball – P5	Women's Basketball – P5	Charlotte North			
Broadcast NIL	\$91,000	\$23,000	Not Eligible			
Videogame NIL	\$300 - \$4,000		Not Eligible			
Lost NIL Opportunities	\$17,000	\$8,500	\$2,019.45			
Athletic Services - Additional	\$40,000	\$14,000	\$286.92			
Total	~\$150,000	\$45,500	\$5,446.54			

Source: Exhibit A – Settlement Recovery Information by Class and Type of Claimed Damages House v. NCAA, Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Settlement Approval, July 26, 2024.

Page 3 of 37

As the preeminent women's lacrosse player during the class period, I believe my Estimated Settlement Allocation is considerably lower than the actual value of my NIL, Athletic Services and Academic Achievements as a student-athlete at Duke and BC. Additionally, I believe the low valuation of my Estimated Allocation reflects longstanding gender equity issues in intercollegiate athletics and is a violation of Title IX.

Title IX is a federal civil rights law passed in 1972, to prohibit sex-based discrimination in any education program or activity, which includes intercollegiate athletics. Title IX states:

"No person in the United States, shall on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."

According to the House Distribution Plan, the allocation of the Gross Settlement Fund \$2.576 Billion is divided into: (1) NIL Claims Settlement Amount (\$1.976 billion), and (2) Additional Compensation Claims Settlement Amount (\$600 million). The Settlement Amount is calculated using a percentage (NIL 67.4%, Additional Compensation 31.6%) of the Total Estimated Damages provided in an economic report prepared by Dr. Daniel Rascher ("Rascher").

Claims	Total Est. Damages	Settlement %	Settlement Amount
NIL	\$2,933	67.4%	\$1,976
Athletic Services - Additional	1,898	31.6%	600
Total House Settlement	\$4,831	100%	\$2,576
Alston Academic - Hubbard	\$200		\$200
(\$ in millions)	Total Settlement (H	ouse + Hubbard)	\$2,776

As illustrated by the charts below, the *House* Settlement significantly benefits men who would receive 94% (\$2.425 billion) compared to women who would only receive 4% (\$102 million) of the total House Settlement Damages (\$2.576 billion).

(\$ in millions)	millions) Broadcast		V	ideo	Lost NIL		
Men	\$1,748	96%	\$72	100%	\$65	73%	
Women	68	4			5	5	
Other					19	22	
Total	\$1,816	100%	\$72	100%	\$90	100%	

01.005	0.50/
\$1,885	95%
72	4%
19	1%
\$1,976	100%

(\$ in millions)	Athletic	etic Services					
Men	\$540	90%					
Women	30	5					
Other	30	5					
Total	\$600	100%					

NIL + Athletic Services =	Total I	louse
	\$2,425	94%
	102	4%
	49	2%
	\$2,576	100%

Source: House v. NCAA, Declaration of Daniel A. Rascher (July 26, 2024).

"Female Athletes Have Been Especially Adversely Impacted by NIL Restrictions and Will Profit in the New NIL Era"

"The NIL rules adversely impacted female athletes more than their male counterparts because (1) they have fewer professional opportunities and they must use their time in school to monetize, and (2) because the NCAA promotes female sports less than it does male sports and thus many female athletes are not as well known..."

"There was an unanswered demand for the use of NIL of female athletes before interim NIL rules..."

"A study conducted by the website AthleticDirectorU and the marketing firm Navigate Research found that 13 of the 25 college athletes with the greatest annual endorsement potential between \$46,000 and \$630,000 were female athletes."

Source: House v. NCAA, Third Cons. Am. Class Action Complaint, p. 74.

The NCAA's failure to promote women's sports equitably is also supported by an independent gender equity review of all NCAA Championships in 2021. The law firm Kaplan Hecker & Fink ("Kaplan") found significant disparities between men's and women's intercollegiate sports. The source of the disparities is the NCAA structure, which is designed to maximize revenues from the most lucrative source of funding for the NCAA and its members — Division I Men's Basketball. According to Kaplan,

"The NCAA's broadcast agreements, corporate sponsorship contracts, distribution of revenue, organizational structure, and culture all prioritize Division I Men's Basketball over everything else in ways that create, normalize, and perpetuate gender inequities."

Source: Kaplan Hecker & Fink LLP, NCAA External Gender Equity Review (2021).

Despite these gender inequities, Rascher used revenue-generating men's sports as a basis to calculate the *House* Settlement Damages awarded to each Settlement Class. As a result of this sex-based analysis, the *House* Settlement has a disparate impact on all female Class Members.

I believe that I am an excellent example of a female student-athlete who was adversely impacted by NIL restrictions and the NCAA's failure to promote female sports equally to men. Therefore, based on my **Estimated Allocation** in the amount of \$5,446.54, I object to the following:

- 1. House Settlement Damages
 - i. Name Image Likeness: Broadcast NIL and Lost NIL Opportunities
 - ii. Athletic Services Additional Compensation
- 2. Hubbard Academic Achievement (Alston Award), and
- 3. Title IX Release

OBJECTIONS: House Settlement Damages

Name, Image & Likeness ("NIL") - Broadcast NIL

#8 North – Duke University (Nike, STX)

#8 North - Boston College (New Balance, Under Armour, Gait Lacrosse)

#10/#8 North - Team USA Lacrosse (Nike, Gait Lacrosse)

According to the *House* Settlement, Women's Lacrosse is classified as an **Additional Sports Class** and is "**Not Eligible**" to receive a Broadcast NIL payment. The classification of my sport – rather than the actual commercial use of my NIL – establishes whether I am eligible for a Broadcast NIL payment. Based on my Broadcast NIL valuation of \$0, I object to the *House* Settlement.

During my lacrosse career at Duke and BC, my NIL was broadcast on ESPN, ESPNU, ESPN+, ESPN3 and the ACC Network. As the leading scorer at Duke and BC, my goals were featured on the jumbotron of each stadium in which my teams played and broadcast on air and the over internet for thousands of fans to watch my regular season games and high-profile post-season tournaments: ACC Championships (2018, 2019, 2021, 2022), NCAA Final Four (2021, 2022), and NCAA Championships (2021, 2022).

As the top women's lacrosse player on a National Championship team, I was featured in countless interviews, promotional materials, social media, photographs, and video highlights to promote telecasts, broadcasts, and other electronic distributions of Women's Lacrosse for the NCAA, ACC, BC and other Universities that my team competed against.

As a <u>Duke Blue Devil</u>, my bright blue jersey displayed "North 8" on my back. My uniform and cleats featured Nike logos, and I used a STX lacrosse stick to score 59 goals (64 points) as a freshman, and 82 goals (105 points) as a sophomore. During my first year at Duke, I developed a signature free position shot from the eight-meter featuring a unique wind up and forceful whip of the lacrosse stick to shoot the ball over 70 miles per hour at the goal.

As a <u>BC Eagle</u>, my maroon and gold jersey displayed "North 8" on my back. Many girls and boys would attend BC games wearing a Boston College #8 North jersey. My uniform and cleats were sponsored by New Balance, I used a Gait Lacrosse stick and wore Under Armour googles above my signature eye black streaks across my face. In addition to being the leading scorer at BC, I also took the draw. As a draw specialist, my NIL appeared at the center of the field to start the game and second half and every time after a goal was scored.

During 2021 and 2022, I was featured multiple times on the covers of *USA Lacrosse* and *Inside Lacrosse* magazines, profiled in *Forbes*, and threw out the first pitch at the Texas Rangers MLB game using a Gait Lacrosse stick to throw the baseball from behind my back – the post generated over 100,000 likes on ESPN Instagram and over 10 million views on Texas Rangers TikTok. My NIL has extended beyond my collegiate career. I am the cover photo for *USA Lacrosse* to promote the 2026 World Lacrosse Women's Championship in Tokyo, and I rang the opening bell at the New York Stock Exchange on January 18, 2025, as Ambassador for the Women's Lacrosse League.

Based on the significant use of my NIL – my name, picture, signature shots, draw controls, jersey and other identifiable features (e.g., eye black streaks on my face) – which were used to promote and help distribute NCAA DI Women's Lacrosse during my five-year career at BC and Duke, I believe that I am entitled to a significant NIL Broadcast payment which is currently not reflected in my Estimated Allocation of "Not Eligible".

Full Grant-in-Aid ("GIA") Scholarship vs. Equivalency Athletic Scholarship

Pursuant to current NCAA Rules, Football, Men's Basketball and Women's Basketball are Head Count sports providing those DI student-athletes with Full scholarships. The *House* Settlement limits Broadcast NIL payments to Full Grant-in-Aid ("GIA") scholarships for the two Classes: (1) Football and Men's Basketball, and (2) Women's Basketball.

Pursuant to NCAA Rules, DI Women's Lacrosse is limited to 12 **Equivalency** scholarships per team, which are divided into Partial scholarships for many players on a team. During my career at Duke and BC, our roster size was approximately 40 female student-athletes. Therefore, due to the NCAA Scholarship Cap of 12 Equivalency scholarships, it is very unusual for a DI Lacrosse student-athlete (male of female) to receive a Full scholarship.

My athletic scholarships at Duke and BC are summarized below:

- Duke (2017-18) 25%
- Duke (2018-19) 40%
- BC (2019-20) 100%
- BC (2020-21) 45%
- BC (2021-22) 40%

Despite being the top female lacrosse player on the National Championship Team in 2021, I was only awarded an Equivalency scholarship of 45% in 2021 and 40% in 2022. The fact that I only received a Partial scholarship did not reflect my athletic ability, but rather the limitations set forth by current NCAA scholarship cap rules.

According to the *House* Settlement, as an Equivalency sport, I am "Not Eligible" to receive a Broadcast NIL. This limitation is based on the classification of Women's Lacrosse as an Additional Sport and the amount of my athletic scholarship (Full vs. Partial), rather than the commercial use of my NIL. The classification of sports established by the *House* Settlement Classes appears to violate the **Policy Interpretation** of Title IX, which prohibits classifying sports in a manner that disproportionately offers benefits or opportunities to members of one sex. See **Appendix C**.

One of the key issues the *House* Settlement seeks to remedy are NCAA rules limiting scholarships available to college athletes. The *House* Injunctive Relief (Article 4) states that all NCAA DI athletic scholarship limits will be eliminated, and all scholarships will be equivalency awards. Accordingly, the NCAA would move from a scholarship cap to a roster cap model that would permit all student-athletes on any team to be eligible for a full GIA scholarship. Under new NCAA Injunctive Relief rules, I would have received Full GIA scholarships for all five of my years as a DI athlete, like the Football and Basketball Settlement Damages Classes. Therefore, I believe that I should be eligible to receive a Broadcast NIL payment based on the commercial use of my NIL, and object to the *House* Settlement.

Name, Image & Likeness ("NIL") - Lost NIL Opportunities

Pursuant to the Alston Supreme Court decision on June 21, 2021, the NCAA implemented an Interim NIL policy on June 30, 2021, permitting DI athletes to monetize from their NIL. As a result of this policy change, I signed several NIL deals with major brands and engaged in other NIL activities that produced income to me of approximately \$118,000 during my college playing

career. My NIL deals included shoes, apparel, equipment, trading cards, social media, video messages and sports camps. A redacted summary of my NIL deals is detailed in Appendix B.

According to my Estimated Allocation, my Lost NIL Opportunities are valued at \$2.019.45 based on one reported "NIL Amount Unknown Year" in the amount of \$1,000. This valuation is significantly lower than the total value of my NIL deals that I signed between July 1, 2021, and May 29, 2022, when I played my final game as a BC Eagle in front of a sold-out crowd of 15,000 at the NCAA Women's Lacrosse Championship, which was televised on ESPN and reached a peak viewership of 590,000.

My estimated Lost NIL Opportunities of \$2,019.45 based on one NIL reported deal in the amount of \$1,000 is not accurate. Post Alston (2021-22), during my college playing career I earned approximately \$118,000 in income from NIL deals and other activities that is not properly reflected in my current calculation. Therefore, I object to the House Settlement. If this Settlement is approved, I request that my Estimated Allocation to be recalculated to fully compensate me for my Lost NIL Opportunities.

Athletic Services - Additional Compensation

My Estimated Allocation for Athletic Services is valued at \$286.92. This amount is significantly lower than the average payments for other Classes: (1) Football and Men's Basketball (\$40,000), and (2) Women's Basketball (\$14,000). Based on my estimated hours of NCAA permitted athletic services (20 hours per week), I played ~2,500 hours of lacrosse over my five-year career at BC and Duke, which equates to approximately \$0.11 per hour. This does not include the hundreds of hours I trained beyond the official practice field, the rehabilitation I undertook to maintain my body, and the promotional engagements I participated in to help promote lacrosse.

The proposed House Settlement of \$600 million for Athletic Services disproportionally favors male student-athletes, as illustrated by the chart below providing Football & Men's Basketball \$540 million representing 90% of the Settlement amounts.

Damages Class	Amount	%
Football & Men's Basketball - P5	\$540 Million	90%
Women's Basketball – P5	30	5
Additional Sports	30	5
Estimated Total	\$600 Million	

According to NCAA rules, all DI student-athletes are limited to a maximum of 20 hours per week of countable athletically related activities ("CARAs") during the playing season. During the offseason, student-athletes are allowed significantly lower CARAs, typically 8 hours per week. Therefore, if DI student-athletes are participating in athletics an equal number of hours per week (20 regular season, 8 off-season), then all DI athletes should be compensated equally for their Athletic Services under the House Settlement.

Instead of using current NCAA rules which limit athletic participation for all DI athletes to calculate Athletic Services, the House Settlement relies on an economic report prepared by Rascher. Estimated settlement amounts for Athletic Services are calculated using a "yardstick" approach by obtaining a "but-for-price" from a "comparable market." This comparable market analysis uses a distribution of salaries in professional leagues to derive an estimate salary for college athletes.

The comparable market analysis is fundamentally flawed because it is sex-based, using collective bargaining agreements from the professional male leagues: NFL, NBA and NHL, as a yardstick, and then estimating athlete compensation to be 50% of a professional league revenue. Professional sports operate to make a profit which is fundamentally different than the NCAA, athletic conferences and universities who operate not-for-profit business models. The resulting analysis is further sex-based because it allocates damages based on the estimated share of the value each sport contributes to regular season broadcast deals. This sex-based allocation is the same proportion Rascher used to calculate NIL damages and explains why there is a disparate impact on female student-athletes. Therefore, I object to the *House* Settlement and believe the Athletic Services calculations are a violation of Title IX.

I believe that I should receive an Athletic Services payment based on the number of hours that I participated in athletically related activities per week, not based on the Classification of Women's Lacrosse as an Additional Sport and a yardstick that relies on historical gender inequities. Therefore, pursuant to NCAA Rules limiting all DI student-athletes' athletic activities, I believe that my Athletic Services payment should be equal to the amounts awarded to Football and Men's Basketball. Additionally, I believe that Women's Basketball and all other women's sports should receive equal Athletic Services payments based on their participation in NCAA DI sports.

Hubbard Academic Achievement (Alston Award):

My Estimated Allocation values my *Hubbard* Academic Achievement ("*Alston*") Award in the amount of \$3,140.17. Note, this amount does not include my academic achievements for my freshman and sophomore years at Duke. A summary of my Academic Achievements at Duke and BC are listed below.

Academic Achievements:

- ACC Lacrosse Scholar-Athlete of the Year (2021, 2022)
- BC: Cumulative GPA 3.87 (Master of Science in Sports Administration)
- BC: Cumulative GPA 3.73 (Bachelor of Arts Sociology Cum Laude)
- Duke: Cumulative GPA 3.59 (Sociology)

Although I did not receive any Alston Award payments at BC, I was the ACC Lacrosse Scholar-Athlete of the Year in 2021 and 2022 and believe I should receive the highest amount of any ACC male or female student-athlete for the *Hubbard* Settlement. My academic achievements and transcripts are detailed in Appendix A.

<u>Title IX Release - Gross Settlement Fund:</u>

The House Settlement contains a specific provision releasing a Class Member's Title IX rights with respect to the Gross Settlement Fund. "Unreleased Claims" is defined in the Amended Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (not defined in the Class Action Notice) as the following:

"The Settlement Agreement does not release... claims under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., other than any claims arising out of or relating to the distribution of the Gross Settlement Fund."

The referenced terms associated in the Title IX Release are further defined in the Amended Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (not in the Class Action Notice) as the following:

Gross Settlement Fund is defined as "the NIL Claims Settlement Amount and Additional Compensation Claims Settlement Amount plus any interest that may accrue."

NIL Claims Settlement Amount = \$1.976 Billion

Additional Compensation Claims Settlement Amount = \$600 Million

Simply stated – this is confusing language on an important point which adversely impacts all past, current and future female Class Members. All DI student-athletes who are or will be Class Members need to understand: (1) What is Title IX (nowhere is the federal law explained or defined), and (2) What Title IX claims is a Class Member releasing.

For a Class Member to properly understand his or her Title IX claims under the Gross Settlement Fund, there needs to be full transparency with respect to the Estimated Allocation amounts. While the Rascher report provides a framework for the calculations, based on my comparison with other lacrosse players, the amounts vary significantly and are difficult to understand. A helpful reference point would have been to provide the Estimated Allocation amounts for the named Plaintiffs in the House Settlement, but according to Class Counsel these amounts are "confidential."

Both the House Settlement Damages (NIL Claims \$1.976 billion + Additional Compensation Claims \$600 million = \$2.776 billion) and future Injunctive Relief of Shared Revenues from a "Benefits Pool" created by a university who participates (\$20 million per year) violate Title IX as a form of "financial assistance," which must be "proportional" to athletic participation rates, or "benefits" that must be "equivalent" to those provided for a men's athletic program. The Dept. of Education Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") recently issued a Fact Sheet to ensure an equal opportunity, regardless of sex, for NIL activities in athletic programs. The OCR stated that NIL agreements between a university and student-athletes constitute a form of athletic "financial assistance" under Title IX. See Appendix C.

The Title IX Release in the *House* Settlement is unreasonable for women because neither of the Plaintiff's experts (Edwin Dresser, Daniel Rascher) factored Title IX into their financial analysis to calculate the Settlement amounts or opinions to justify them. The failure to include Title IX in the calculations of the Estimated Allocation amounts explains why there is such a significant disparity between the amounts for men versus women. As stated by both experts at deposition:

Q: Have you tried to account for Title IX in any of your damage's models in this case?

A: No.

Source: Rascher Dep. Tr. at 64:12-19.

- Q: Have you given any consideration to the Title [IX] in your preparation of this report?
- A: That's not within the scope of what I was assigned to work on.
- Q: So, the answer is: 'No' you have not given any consideration to it, because you weren't asked to do that?
- A: No, I have not.

Source: Desser Dep. Tr. at 64:12-19.

The Plaintiff's experts justify their calculations based on the proportion of revenues generated by a sport. Revenue generation by a sport, however, has never been a basis to exempt compliance with Title IX. The *House* Settlement calculations contradict the **legislative history** of Title IX. Since the inception of Title IX legislation, advocates for men's sports tried to exclude revenue-generating sports from Tile IX coverage. Opponents proposed multiple amendments to exclude athletics and limit the reach of the federal law. However, Congress repeatedly rejected these efforts and refused to carve out revenue-generating sports from Title IX coverage. See **Appendix C**.

Congress enacted Title IX to prevent the use of federal funds to support discriminatory practices in education. The *House* Settlement payments are funded by the NCAA, Power Five Conferences and NCAA DI member institutions (universities/colleges). It is well established that universities are a "recipient of federal financial assistance" and, therefore, are subject to Title IX. While the NCAA and Athletic Conferences are not a "direct" recipient of federal financial assistance, there is a strong argument that athletic conferences are an "indirect" recipient of federal funds and, therefore, should be subject to Title IX.

Justice Ginsburg in the landmark U.S. Supreme Court case NCAA v. Smith (1999), found that the NCAA's receipt of membership dues from educational institutions did not constitute "receipt" of federal aid. Based on this narrow ruling, the NCAA was not subject to Title IX. As a result of this "recipient loophole," the NCAA has been operating "above the law" for decades, resulting in significant gender disparities detailed in the Kaplan Report and directly impacting the House Settlement calculations. However, Justice Ginsburg left open an alternative legal theory to bring the NCAA and athletic conferences under the scope of Title IX. The mere receipt of membership dues, without something "more," was insufficient to trigger Title IX coverage in Smith. However, the NCAA's "controlling authority" over federally funded educational institutions athletic programs is the something "more" that brings the NCAA under the scope of Title IX as an "indirect recipient." See Appendix C.

The class action lawsuit, In re: College Athlete NIL Litigation, exemplifies how the NCAA's Eligibility Rules had controlling authority over student-athletes participation in college sports, limited the use of their NIL, and limited the availability of athletic scholarships. The NCAA, Power Five Conferences and DI NCAA member institutions were "pervasively entwined" and conspired together to enact and enforce the NCAA's anticompetitive Eligibility Rules. To the extent the NCAA and Power Five Conferences try to circumvent coverage of Title IX under the "recipient loophole," I request that the Court carefully consider Justice Ginsburg's alternative "controlling authority" theory.

Antitrust (Sherman Act) is a fundamentally different issue than antidiscrimination (Title IX), so it incomprehensible why a female student-athlete would be required to release her Title IX rights to participate in an antitrust settlement. In the landmark U.S. Supreme Court Case, NCAA v. Alston

(2021), Justice Kavanaugh in his concurring opinion specifically raised this issue, "How would any compensation regime comply with Title IX?" Title IX was enacted to prevent the unequal treatment of female student-athletes which is precisely what the House Settlement would do if approved. Therefore, I object to the House Settlement and believe it is a violation of Title IX.

I object to releasing my Title IX claims "arising out of or relating to the distribution of the Gross Settlement Fund." There is a significant disparity between House Settlement Amounts based on the sex of an athlete, with male athletes receiving a disproportionately higher amount than women. Therefore, I object to the House Settlement and request that the Court consider this matter very carefully given the significant consequences of approving the Title IX Release for all female Class Members.

Future - Injunctive Relief:

If the Court approves the *House* Settlement, and a university decides to participate in the \$20 million "revenue sharing" pool for student-athletes, I request that "revenue sharing" payments comply with Title IX, and that universities be required to provide full disclosure to the Court and Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA") so that there is full transparency on these payments.

Request to Speak at Final Hearing - Legal Representation:

I have retained an independent attorney because I believe there is a conflict of interest with the Class Counsel (Winston & Strawn LLP and Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP) who has negotiated a *House* Settlement that disproportionately favors men and failed to take Title IX into consideration. I am currently being represented by **Leigh Ernst Friestedt**, a New York attorney who specializes in Title IX and sports law matters. Leigh is also a former DI Women's Lacrosse player, who has a strong understanding of the issues raised in my objection. I will be training with the USA National Team and working as an ESPN broadcaster this spring, so unable to attend the Approval Hearing. In my absence, I request that Leigh be permitted to speak on my behalf at the Final Approval Hearing, schedule for April 7, 2025.

Leigh's full contact information is listed below. Please include her on any future correspondence.

Leigh Ernst Friestedt

Equity IX, LLC 40 Mercer St. #15 New York, NY 10013 leigh@equityix.com (917) 513-5541

Claim Forms

I have updated my contact and payment information and submitted Claim Forms that would be required to participate in the *House* and *Hubbard* Settlements. Should the Court approve the *House* Settlement, I request that the Court recalculate my Estimated Allocation amounts to reflect my full information, including my freshman and sophomore years at Duke (2017-19).

If you need any additional information, please feel free to contact me – my full contact information is listed below.

Charlotte North

1 Park Lane, Apt. 908 Boston, MA 02210 <u>charlotte@ocholacrosse.com</u> (214) 796-3614

Thank you for your consideration of my objections.

Sincerely,

Charlotte North

Duke University – Women's Lacrosse (2017-19)

Boston College – Women's Lacrosse (2019-22)

USA Lacrosse Women's National Team (2022-Present)

Leif to Front

cc: Leigh Ernst Friestedt

APPENDIX A Charlotte North

Table of Contents

- 1. Athletic Honors and Academic Achievements
- 2. Professional Resume
- 3. Duke Transcript (Undergraduate)
- 4. Boston College Transcript (Undergraduate)
- 5. Boston College Transcript (Graduate)

Charlotte North

NCAA Eligibility ID # 1602420503

HONORS AND ACHIEVEMENTS

<u>Women's Lacrosse Honors</u>	
World Championship – USA National Box Lacrosse Team	2024
World Championship – USA National Team	2022
NCAA National Championship – Boston College	2021
NCAA National Championship Runner-Up – Boston College	2022
Tewaaraton Award (Best College Lacrosse Player)	2021, 2022
Most Outstanding Player – NCAA Tournament	2021
NCAA All-Tournament Team	2022
Player of the Year and Attacker of the Year – IWLCA	2021, 2022
National Player of the Year – Inside Lacrosse	2021
First Team All-American – IWLCA, Inside Lacrosse, USA Lacrosse Magazine	2021, 2022
ACC Athlete of the Year	2021, 2022
ACC Lacrosse Scholar-Athlete of the Year	2021, 2022
First Team All-ACC	2021, 2022
All-ACC Tournament Team	2021, 2022
ESPY Nominee, Best College Athlete – Women's Sports	2022
Honda Collegiate Women Sports Award for Lacrosse	2021
Eagle of the Year Award, Boston College (Highest Honor for BC Athlete)	2021, 2022
Offensive Player of the Week – IWLCA (4x), ACC (4x)	2021
Offensive Player of the Week – IWLCA (1x), ACC (5x)	2022
First Team, Northeast Region – IWLCA	2021, 2022
#1 College Lacrosse Player (Men's or Women's) – Inside Lacrosse	2022

Women's College Lacrosse Records/Rankings

Career Goals (358) – 2nd Highest All-Time – NCAA

Career Points (433) - 4th Highest All-Time - NCAA

Single Season Goals (102) – 2nd Highest All-Time – NCAA

NCAA Tournament Goals (31)

NCAA Championship Game Goals (6) (Tie)

NCAA Tournament Single Game Goals (8, 2x) - Boston College

Single Game Goals (10) - ACC and Boston College

Single Season Points (105) and Goals (82) - Duke

Freshman Single Season Points (64) and Goals (59)- Duke

Single Game Points (12) - Boston College

Single Game Points (11) (Tie), Goals (8) and Assists (6) (Tie) - Duke

Women's Professional Lacrosse Records/Rankings

Single Season Goals (50) – Athletes Unlimited

Single Season Points (59) – Athletes Unlimited

Single Game Goals (8) – Athletes Unlimited

Career Points (153) - Second Most-All-Time; Fastest Player to Reach 150 Points by 15 Games

Career Two-Point Goals (24) - Highest All-Time - Athletes Unlimited

Charlotte North

1 Park Lane, Apt 908, Boston, MA 02210 | charlotte@ocholacrosse.com | 214-796-3614

EDUCATION

Boston College, Woods College of Advancing Studies

Chestnut Hill, MA

Master of Science in Sports Administration

May 2023

Overall GPA: 3.87

Chestnut Hill, MA

Boston College, Morrissey College of Arts and Sciences

May 2021

Bachelor of Arts in Sociology, Cum Laude

Overall GPA: 3.73

Duke University, Trinity College of Art & Science

Durham, NC

Sociology Major
Overall GPA: 3.59

August 2017 - May 2019

WORK & LEADERSHIP EXPERIENCE

USA Lacrosse - Women's National Box Team

Utica, NY

Sparks, MD

Player

September 2023 – September 2024

 Selected to 23-member USA women's national box lacrosse team, which won gold medal in World Lacrosse Women's Box Championships in September 2024

Athletes Unlimited

Player

August 2022, July - August 2024

• Professional lacrosse player. First player selected in 2022 Athletes Unlimited lacrosse draft. Led the league in goals, two-point goals and points in each of first three seasons.

USA Lacrosse - Women's National Team

Towson, MD

Player

January - August 2022

• Selected to 18-member USA women's national lacrosse team, which won gold medal at World Lacrosse Women's Championships in July 2022

Boston College Women's Lacrosse

Chestnut Hill, MA

Captain

August 2020 - May 2022

Starter (Attack, Draw)

August 2019 – May 2022

 Transferred from Duke to Boston College in August 2019 to seek more competitive lacrosse experience; deliberately took on the challenge of, and successfully managed, transition to new program and team, including learning to work with, gain the trust and respect of, and ultimately help lead new teammates

Duke University Women's Lacrosse

Durham, NC

Starter (Attack)

August 2017 - May 2019

Self Employed

Dallas, TX and Boston, MA

Ocho Lacrosse, LLC (d/b/a Charlotte North Lacrosse)

June 2021 - Present

• Founded new entrepreneurial vehicle to pursue lacrosse-related opportunities, and to serve as a platform for my other professional athletics-related endeavors, including in-person and online training, sponsorship and licensing opportunities, social media marketing and sports broadcasting and other media ventures

Case 4:20-cv-03919-CW Document 638 Filed 01/31/25 Page 16 of 37

Charlotte North APPENDIX

Personal and Club Team Lacrosse Coach and Trainer

June 2017 - June 2021

• Provided coaching and training to high school and youth players to help them develop lacrosse skills as well as critical leadership, work ethic and character traits through their commitment to the sport

ESPN Bristol, CT

Broadcaster

March 2023 - Present

On-air commentator for men's and women's lacrosse games broadcast or streamed on ESPN networks

Boston College Department of Athletics

Chestnut Hill, MA

Intern, Marketing Department

June 2021 - December 2021

• Worked with senior Boston College athletics department marketing staff on multiple projects to increase ticket sales for, and student, alumni and community engagement with, various athletics programs and events

VOLUNTEER EXPERIENCE

Friends of the Heights Fund

Boston, MA

Advisory Council Member

November 2022 - Present

 One of two initial Advisory Council Members for new, alumni-led program for Boston College studentathletes dedicated to helping them capitalize on name, image and likeness ("NIL") opportunities to build their brands and earn compensation

Bridge Lacrosse

Dallas, TX

Coach and Mentor

October 2015 – August 2021

Coached and trained high school and youth players from under-served local communities, helping them not
only develop lacrosse skills but also achieve personal growth and helpful connections to the wider
community

Page 1 of 1



Charlotte Name: North Student ID: 2363306

Print Date: 06/13/2019

Academic Program

Program: Trinity College
Active in Program Plan: Sociology (
Plan: Markets and Management (C) Program:

Status:

Beginning of Undergraduate Record

Sociology (AB)

	-		-
201	7	Fall	Term

		2017 Fall Term		
Course ECON	22	Description INTRODUCTORY MACROECONOMICS	<u>Eamed</u> 1.000	Grade AP
Course ITALIAN PSY VMS WRITING Topic: Term GPA	101 101 353 101 3.425	Description ELEMENTARY ITALIAN 1 INTRODUCTORY PSYCHOLOGY BLK PERFORM: M. JACKSON/PRINCE ACADEMIC WRITING LAND OF THE FREE Term Earned	Eamed 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 5.000	Grade A C+ A- A-
Course CULANTH Topic: CULANTH ITALIAN SOCIOL Term GPA	89S 101 102 218 3.575	2018 Spring Term Description FIRST-YEAR SEMINAR (TOP) MUSIC AS MIRROR, MEDIATOR INTRO TO CULTURAL ANTHRO ELEMENTARY ITALIAN 2 SEX, GENDER, AND SOCIETY Term Earned	Eamed 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 4.000	Grade A B+ A- B+
Course STA Term GPA	101 3.700	2018 Summer Term 1 Description DATA ANALY/STAT INFER Term Earned	Earned 1.000 1.000	Grade A-
Course ITALIAN SOCIOL SOCIOL VMS Term GPA	203 332 338 267 3.775	2018 Fall Term Description INTERMEDIATE ITALIAN METHODS SOCIAL RESEARCH THEORY AND SOCIETY FILM GENRES Term Earned	Eamed 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 4.000	Grade A- A- A- A
Course MMS Topic: SOCIOL SOCIOL VMS Term GPA	490 257 344 103 3,575	2019 Spring Term Description SPECIAL TOPICS BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS MENTAL HEALTH TECH AND ORG ENVIRONMENTS TRADITIONS IN DOC STUDIES Term Earned	Earned 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 4.000	Grade B+ A A- B+

Undergraduate Career Earned

Cum GPA:

3.594 **Cum Earned** 18.000

End of Official Transcript

BOSTON COLLEGE

Office of Student Services Academic Transcript Boston College Office of Student Services Lyons Hall 103 140 Commonwealth Avenue Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

DEGRHE : MAJOR :	MORE	LOTTE G NORTH USSEY COLLEGE OF A ELOR OF ARTS - 05/2 DLOGY						STUD! DATE:			34290268 01/29/202
										P	age:1 of 1
EXTERNAL	TRANSFER CREDITS					SPRING 202	1 MORRISSEY COLLEGE	OF ARTS AND S	CIENC	ES	
DUKE UNIV				56		COURSE	COURSE TITLE	_		EARN	
EXTERNAL	INSTITUTION			04		ACCT1021	FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING	3	03	03	B+
	1	EARNED CREDITS:		60		COMM1030	PUBLIC SPEAKING		03	03	B+
EATT 2010	MORRESSEY COLLEGE	OF AUTO AND COT	NCEC			HIST1056	GLOBALIZATION II		03	03	Α-
COURSE	COURSE TITLE	. 01 2213 2213 3011		EARN	GR	SOCY2181	GENDER, IDENTITY, SEXU		03	03	A
APSY1031	FAMILY SCHOOL&SO	CIETY	03	03	A	SOCY5565	INTRO TO SOCIAL WORK		03	03	B+
HIST1083	GLOBALIZATION I		03	03	A-						UNITS
OUR2235	SPORTS WRITING		03	03	A	TERM GPA	3.532 3.726	TERM TOTALS:	15	15 120	15 51
PHIL1070	PHILOSOPHY OF PERS	SON I	03	03	B+	COM GFA	3.720	COM TOTALS	120	120	31
THEO1421	NEW TESTAMENT		03	03	A-						
					UNITS						
TERM GPA	3.734	TERM TOTALS:	15	15	15		TOTAL	CREDITS EARNED	120	CUM C	PA: 3.72
CUM GPA	3.734	CUM TOTALS:	75	75	15			OF RECORD			
OURSE	COURSE TITLE	EGE OF ARTS AND S	ATT	EARN							
COURSE EESC1187 ENGL1080 TAL1114 SOCY1001 THEO1402	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELP, AND SOCI	C POLICY AN II COLOGY IHTY	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 03 ATT	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 03 EARN	P P A A UNITS						
COURSE HESC1187 INGL1680 TAL1114 GOCY1001 THEO1402	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPURLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI	C POLICY AN II COLOGY EETY TERM TOTALS:	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15	P P A A UNITS 08						
COURSE HESC1187 INGL1680 TAL1114 GOCY1001 THEO1402	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI	C POLICY AN II COLOGY IHTY	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 03 ATT	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 03 EARN	P P A A UNITS						
COURSE BESC 1187 BNGL 1680 TAL 1114 SOCY1001 THE 01402 TERM GPAL CUM GPAL	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPURLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI	E POLICY AN II FOLOGY EBTY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS:	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15	P P A A UNITS 08						
TOURSE HESC1187 HNGL1680 TAL1114 SOCY1001 THEO1402 TERM GPAL CUM GPAL	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.910 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE	E POLICY AN II TOLOGY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS:	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90	P P A A A UNITS 06 21						
COURSE EESC1187 ENGL1680 TAL1114 EOCY1001 THEO1402 TERM GPAL CUM GPAL	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.910 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE	E POLICY AN II TOLOGY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS:	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90	P P A A UNITS 06 21						
COURSE DESCI187 DESCI	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.910 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE	AN II COLOGY EITY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS: E OF ARTS AND SCI	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90	P P A A A UNITS 06 21						
COURSE DESC1187 PRGL1680 TAL1114 SOCY1001 THE01402 TERM GPA TERM GPA TALL 2020 COURSE CHEM1105 CSC11101	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCE PUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.810 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE CHEMISTRY AND SOCI	AN II OLOGY ENTY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS: E OF ARTS AND SCIENTY I	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90 ENCES ATT 03	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90	P P A A A I UNITS OS 21						
COURSE DESC1187 PRGL1680 TAL1114 SOCY1001 THE01402 TERM GPA CUM GPA FALL 2020 COURSE CHEM1105 CSC11101 PHIL1071	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.010 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE CHEMISTRY AND SOCI COMPUTER SCIENCE	E POLICY AN II COLOGY ENTY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS: E OF ARTS AND SCIE ENTY I I SON II	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90 ENCES ATT 03 03	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90 EARN 03	P P A A A I UNITS OS 21 GR A A-						
COURSE EBSC1187 ERGL1080 TTAL1114 SOCY1001 THE01402 TERM GPA- CUM GPA- COURSE CHEM1105 CSC11101 PHIL1071 SOCY1078	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPURLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALI INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.810 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE CHEMISTRY AND SOC COMPUTER SCIENCE PHILOSOPHY OF PER	E POLICY AN II COLOGY EETY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS: E OF ARTS AND SCIE EETY I SON II ELLNESS	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 ATT 15 90 ENCES ATT 03 03 03	EARN 03 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90 EARN 03 03	P P P A A I UNITS 06 21 GR A A A-						
COURSE BESC1187 ENGL1080 ITAL1114 SOCY1001 ITHE01402 ITHEM GPAL CUM GPAL	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPURLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALL INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.810 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE CHEMISTRY AND SOC COMPUTER SCIENCE PHILOSOPHY OF PERC SOC OF HEALTH AND	E POLICY AN II COLOGY EETY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS: E OF ARTS AND SCIE EETY I SON II ELLNESS	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	EARN 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90 EARN 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	P P P A A I UNITS 06 21 GB A A A- A-						
COURSE EBSC1187 ERGL1080 TTAL1114 SOCY1001 THE01402 TERM GPA- CUM GPA- COURSE CHEM1105 CSC11101 PHIL1071 SOCY1078	COURSE TITLE GEOSCIENCEAPUBLIC LITERATURE CORE INTERNEDIATE ITALL INTRODUCTORY SOCI GOD, SELF, AND SOCI 4.000 3.910 MORRISSEY COLLEGE COURSE TITLE CHEMISTY AND SOC COMPUTER SCIENCE PHILOSOPHY OF PER SOC OF HEALTH AND SPORTS/AMERICAN S	E POLICY AN II COLOGY EETY TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS: E OF ARTS AND SCIE EETY I SON II ELLNESS	ATT 03 03 03 03 03 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	EARN 03 03 03 03 EARN 15 90 EARN 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	P P P A A UNITS 06 21 GR A A- A- A- A						

Bryan D. Jones
University Registrar

BOSTON COLLEGE

Office of Student Services Academic Transcript Boston College Office of Student Services Lyons Hall 103 140 Commonwealth Avenue Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE		WOODS COLLEGE OF ADV. MASTER OF SCIENCE - 05/	05/22/2023		STUDENT ID#: DATE PRINTED:	34290268 10/10/202	
						 	Page: 1 of 2
ALL 2021 OURSE	WOODS COLLE	GE OF ADVANCING STUDI		~~~	-	 TOTAL CREDITS EARNED: 30 CUM	CDA . 3.05
DSA7501		RTS MANAGEMINT	03	EARN 03	. UK A		
DSA7501	SPORTS LAW 6		03	03	Ā	END OF RECORD	
DSA7585		HP&IGNATNETHCS	03	03	A		
DSAB010	COACHING-PE	RF & STOT ATHL	03	03	A-		
					UNITS		
ERM GPA		TERM TOTALS:	12	12	12		
UM GPA	3.918	CUM TOTALS	12	12	12		
PRING 202	22 WOODS COL	LEGE OF ADVANCING ST	DIES				
OURSE	COURSE TITLE		ATT	EARN	GR		
DSA7503	SPORTS FINAN	CE	03	03	A		
DSA8004	DIVERSITY AND	D INCLUSION IN SPORTS	03	03	B+		
DSA8008	SPORT PSYCHO	DLOGY	03	03	A		
			ATT	EARN	UNITS		
ERM GPA:	3,777	TERM TOTALS:	09	09	09		
UM GPA	3.857	CUM TOTALS:	21	21	21		
TD0150 0	~~~ woone co	LLEGE OF ADVANCING ST	7 IDTE	,			
OURSE	COURSE TITLE			EARN	GR		
DSA7502	SPORTS MICTG & COMMUNICATIN		03	03	A		
					UNITS		
ERM GPA: UM GPA:	4.000 3.875	TERM TOTALS: CUM TOTALS:	03 24	03 24	03 24		
UM GPA	3.875	CUM IDIALS	49	49	44		
ALL 2022	WOODS COLLE	GE OF ADVANCING STUDI	ES				
OURSE	COURSE TITLE	1		EARN	GR		
DSA7506	Athlete entrepreneurship. Opportunities created by Name, IMAGE, & LIKENESS		03	03	A-		
			ATT	EARN	UNITS		
ERM GPA:	3.570	TERM TOTALS:	03	03	03		
:UM GPA:	3.852	CUM TOTALS:	27	27	27		
BRING 20	23 WANTING CO.I	LEGE OF ADVANCING ST	mire				
OURSE	COURSE TITLE			EARN	GR		
DSAR902		ARCH PROIECT	03	03	A		
					UNITS		
ERM GPA: UM GPA:	4,600	TERM TOTALS:	03	03	03		
	3.867	CUM TOTALS:	30	30	30		

Bryan D. Jones
University Registrar

APPENDIX B Charlotte North NIL Deals

Charlotte North NIL Deals

(June 21, 2021 – May 29, 2022)

Charlotte North entered into the following NIL deals valued at \$118,856 post the *Alston* Supreme Court decision (June 21, 2021) until her final game at Boston College for the NC AA DI Women's Lacrosse Championship (May 29, 2022). Note, brand names have been redacted for confidentially.

Contract			Income		
Date	Brand	Description	2021	2022	
Post Alston	Brand A	Video Messages	\$635	\$1,251	
8/24/21	Brand B	Shoes	1,899	966	
9/19/21	Brand C	Apparel		7,358	
9/20/21	Brand D	Apparel	325	4,279	
		Sub-Total	\$2,859	\$13,854	

2022 NIL Deals					
Contract			Income		
Date	Brand	Description	2021	2022	
2/1/22	Brand E	Trading Cards		\$2,500	
2/18/22	Brand F	Social Media		17,500	
2/29/22	Brand G	Sports Camps		31,707	
3/25/22	Brand H	Licensing		142	
2021-22	CNL	Sports Clinics	\$21,836	28,458	
		Sub-Total	\$21,836	\$80,307	
		Total	\$24,695	\$94,161	
		TOTAL (2021-'22)	\$118,856		

Charlotte North APPENDIX

APPENDIX C Title IX

Table of Contents

- 1. Title IX 1972 Educational Amendments Act
- 2. Title IX Regulations
- 3. Title IX Policy Interpretation
- 4. Dept. of Edu cation OCR Fact Sheet

Title IX of the Education Amend ments Act of 1972 20 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq.

In June 1972, President Nixon signed Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 into law. Title IX is a federal law that states:

"No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation, in be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq.

Title IX applies to all aspects of education programs or activities operated by recipients of federal financial assistance. In addition to educational institutions such as colleges, universities, and elementary and secondary schools, Title IX also applies to any education or training program operated by a "recipient of federal financial assistance."

Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987

In response to the Supreme Court's decision in *Grove City College v. Bell*, 465 U.S. 555, 571-72 (1984) that Title IX and other similar nondiscrimination statutes were *program-specific* and only applied to the particular portion of a recipient's program that actually received federal financial assistance, Congress passed the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 which clarified the definition of "program or activity" to cover all the operations of an entity receiving federal financial assistance.

Purpose

Congress enacted Title IX with two principal objectives: to avoid the use of federal resources to support discriminatory practices in education programs, and to provide individual citizens effective protection against those practices. See *Cannon v. University of Chicago*, 441 U.S. 677, 704 (1979).

Congress consciously modeled Title IX on Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq., which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin in programs or activities that receive federal funds. Title VI's protections are not limited to "education" programs and activities, as are those of Title IX.

The two statutes both condition an of er of federal funding on a promise by the recipient not to discriminate, in what is essentially a contract between the government and the recipient of funds.

Federal Financial Assistance

Title IX prohibits (limited exceptions) any entity that receives "federal financial assistance" from discriminating against individuals on the basis of sex in education programs or activities. 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a) The clearest example of federal financial assistance is the award or grant of money.

Direct and Indirect Receipt of Federal Assistance

Federal financial assistance may be received directly or indirectly. For example, colleges indirectly receive federal financial assistance when they accept students who pay, in part, with federal financial aid directly distributed to the students. *Grove City College v. Bell*, 465 U.S. 555, 564 (1984); see also *Bob Jones Univ. v. Johnson*, 396 F. Supp. 597, 603 (D. S.C. 1974), aff'd, 529 F.2d 514 (4th Cir. 1975).

Recipient (Regulations)

A "recipient" is an entity that receives federal financial assistance and that operates "an education program or activity," and is thus subject to Title IX. The Title IX common rule provides as follows:

The term *recipient* means any State or political subdivision thereof, or any instrumentality of a State or political subdivision thereof, any public or private agency, institution, or organization, or other entity, or any person, to whom Federal financial assistance is extended directly or through another recipient and that operates an education program or activity that receives such assistance, including any subunit, successor, assignee, or transferee thereof. 65 Fed. Reg. 52866 at § 1211.105 (2000).

Indirect Recipient - NCAA v. Smith

A recipient may receive funds either directly or indirectly. *Grove City College*, 465 U.S. at 564-65. For example, educational institutions receive federal financial assistance indirectly when they accept students who pay, in part, with federal loans. Although the money is paid directly to the students, the universities and other educational institutions are the indirect recipients. Id.; *Bob Jones Univ.*, 396 F. Supp. at 602.

The U.S. Supreme Court in NCAA v. Smith, 525 U.S. 459, 470 (1999), citing both Grove City and Paralyzed Veterans, stated that while membership d wes paid to an entity (NCAA) by colleges and universities, who were recipients of federal financial assistance, "at most ... demonstrates that it [NCAA] indirectly benefits from the federal assistance afforded its afforded members." But the Court stated, "This showing, without more, is insufficient to trigger Title IX coverage. Smith, 525 U.S. at 468.

Controlling Authority - NCAA v. Smith

However, the U.S. Supreme Court in *Smith* did not address the argument that "when a recipient cedes controlling authority over a federally funded program to another entity, the controlling entity is covered by Title IX regardless whether it is itself a recipient." Smith, 525 U.S. at 469-471.

Disparate Treatment

Disparate treatment refers to actions that treat similarly situated persons differently on the basis of a prohibited classification. In the case of Title IX, the prohibited classification is sex. Under the disparate treatment theory of discrimination, the core question is whether a recipient, through its officials, has treated people differently on the basis of sex.

Disparate Impact

In contrast to disparate treatment, which focuses on the intent to cause sex-based results, disparate impact focuses on the consequences of a facially sex-neutral policy or practice. Under this theory of discrimination, the core inquiry focuses on the results of the action taken, rather than the underlying intent. Because of this difference in focus, evidence of a discriminatory intent or purpose is not required. Indeed, "intent" is not an element in the disparate impact analysis.

Source: Civil Rights Division – Title IX – www.justice.gov

Title IX Regulations

§ 1061 Purpose.

The purpose of this part is to effectuate Title IX, which is designed to eliminate (with certain exceptions) discrimination on the basis of sex in any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance, whether or not such program or activity is offered or sponsored by an educational institution as defined in this part.

§ 1067 Financial assistance.

- (a) General. Except as provided in <u>paragraphs (b)</u> and (c) of this section, in providing financial assistance to any of its students, a recipient shall not:
 - (1) On the basis of sex, provide different amount or types of such assistance, limit eligibility for such assistance which is of any particular type or source, apply different criteria, or otherwise discriminate;
 - (2) Through solicitation, listing, approval, provision of facilities or other services, assist any foundation, trust, agency, organization, or person which provides assistance to any of such recipient's students in a manner which discriminates on the basis of sex; or
 - (3) Apply any rule or assist in application of any rule concerning eligibility for such assistance which treats persons of one sex differently from persons of the other sex with regard to marital or parental status.

(b) Financial aid established by certain legal instruments.

- (1) A recipient may administer or assist in the administration of scholarships, fellowships, or other forms of financial assistance established pursuant to domestic or foreign wills, trusts, bequests, or similar legal instruments or by acts of a foreign government which requires that awards be made to members of a particular sex specified therein; *Provided*, That the overall effect of the award of such sex-restricted scholarships, fellowships, and other forms of financial assistance does not discriminate on the basis of sex.
- (2) To ensure nondiscriminatory awards of assistance as required in <u>paragraph (b)(1)</u> of this section, recipients shall develop and use procedures under which:
 - (i) Students are selected for award of financial assistance on the basis of nondiscriminatory criteria and not on the basis of availability of funds restricted to members of a particular sex;
 - (ii) An appropriate sex-restricted scholarship, fellowship, or other form of financial assistance is allocated to each student selected under <u>paragraph (b)(2)(i)</u> of this section; and
 - (iii) No student is denied the award for which he or she was selected under <u>paragraph (b)(2)(i)</u> of this section because of the absence of a scholarship, fellowship, or other form of financial assistance designated for a member of that student's sex.

(c) Athletic scholarships.

- (1) To the extent that a recipient awards athletic scholarships or grants-in-aid, it must provide reasonable opportunities for such awards for members of each sex in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics.
- (2) Separate athletic scholarships or grants-in-aid for members of each sex may be provided as part of separate athletic teams for members of each sex to the extent consistent with this paragraph and § 106.41.

§ 10641 Athletics.

(a) General. No person shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, be treated differently from another person or otherwise be discriminated against in any interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by a recipient, and no recipient shall provide any such athletics separately on such basis.

- (b) Separate teams. Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, a recipient may operate or sponsor separate teams for members of each sex where selection for such teams is based upon competitive skill or the activity involved is a contact sport. However, where a recipient operates or sponsors a team in a particular sport for members of one sex but operates or sponsors no such team for members of the other sex, and athletic opportunities for members of that sex have previously been limited, members of the excluded sex must be allowed to try-out for the team offered unless the sport involved is a contact sport. For the purposes of this part, contact sports include boxing, wrestling, rugby, ice hockey, football, basketball and other sports the purpose or major activity of which involves bodily contact.
- (c) *Equal opportunity*. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics shall provide equal athletic opportunity for members of both sexes. In determining whether equal opportunities are available the Director will consider, among other factors:
 - (1) Whether the selection of sports and levels of competition effectively accommodate the interests and abilities of members of both sexes;
 - (2) The provision of equipment and supplies;
 - (3) Scheduling of games and practice time;
 - (4) Travel and per diem allowance;
 - (5) Opportunity to receive coaching and academic tutoring:
 - (6) Assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors;
 - (7) Provision of locker rooms, practice and competitive facilities;
 - (8) Provision of medical and training facilities and services;
 - (9) Provision of housing and dining facilities and services:
 - (10) Publicity.

Unequal aggregate expenditures for members of each sex or unequal expenditures for male and female teams if a recipient operates or sponsors separate teams will not constitute noncompliance with this section, but the Assistant Secretary may consider the failure to provide necessary funds for teams for one sex in assessing equality of opportunity for members of each sex.

[45 FR 30955, May 9, 1980, as amended at 85 FR 30579, May 19, 2020; 89 FR 33888, Apr. 29, 2024]

(c) Athletic scholarships.

- (1) To the extent that a recipient awards athletic scholarships or grants-in-aid, it must provide reasonable opportunities for such awards for members of each sex in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics.
- (2) Separate athletic scholarships or grants-in-aid for members of each sex may be provided as part of separate athletic teams for members of each sex to the extent consistent with this paragraph and § 106.41.

[45 FR 30955, May 9, 1980, as amended at 85 FR 30579, May 19, 2020]

Policy Interpretation - Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics

Title IX - Background

§ 901(a) of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 provides:

"No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."

§ 844 of the Education Amendments of 1974 further provides:

"The Secretary of [of HEW] shall prepare and publish proposed regulations implementing the provisions of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 relating to the prohibition of sex discrimination in federally assisted education programs which shall include with respect to intercollegiate athletic activities reasonable provisions considering the nature of particular sports.

Congress passed § 844 after the Conference Committee deleted a Senate floor amend ment that would have exempted revenue-producing athletics from the jurisdiction of Title IX.

Purpose of Policy Interpretation

By the end of July 1978, the Department had received nearly 100 complaints alleging discrimination in athletics against more than 50 institutions of higher education. In attempting to investigate these complaints, and to answer questions from the university community, the Department determined that it should provide further guidance on what constitutes compliance with the law. Accordingly, this Policy Interpretation explains the regulation so as to provide a framework within which the complaints can be resolved, and to provide institutions of higher education with additional guidance on the requirements for compliance with Title IX in intercollegiate athletic programs.

Summary of Final Policy Interpretation

The final Policy Interpretation clarifies the meaning of "equal opportunity" in intercollegiate athletics. It explains the factors and standards set out in the law and regulation which the Department will consider in determining whether an institution's intercollegiate athletics program complies with the law and regulations. It also provides guidance to assist institutions in determining whether any disparities which may exist between men's and women's programs are justifiable and nondiscriminatory. The Policy Interpretation is divided into three sections:

- Compliance in Financial Assistance (Scholarships) Based on Athletic Ability: Pursuant to the regulation, the governing principle in this area is that all such assistance should be available on a substantially proportional basis to the number of male and female participants in the institution's athletic program.
- Compliance in Other Program Areas (Equipment and supplies; games and practice times; travel and per diem, coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, and practice and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities; housing and dining facilities; publicity; recruitment; and support services): Pursuant to the regulation, the governing principle is that male and female athletes should receive equivalent treatment, benefits, and opportunities.
- Compliance in Meeting the Interests and Abilities of Male and Female Students: Pursuant to the regulation, the governing principle in this area is that the athletic interests and abilities of male and female students must be equally effectively accommod ated.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, A Policy Interpretation: Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972¹ (Title IX) prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex by schools that receive Federal financial assistance, including K-12 schools, colleges, and universities.² If a school receives Federal financial assistance, Title IX's prohibition on sex discrimination extends to all of the school's programs and activities, including its athletic program.

The U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR) enforces Title IX and its implementing regulations, which require that schools receiving Federal financial assistance provide equal athletic opportunities based on sex—including with respect to publicity, support services, and other benefits, opportunities, and treatment—and that schools not discriminate in the provision of athletic financial assistance.³ As the types of benefits provided to studentathletes continue to evolve, including in the context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) activities, schools may have questions regarding how NIL activities impact the provision of equal opportunities in their athletic programs.

OCR provides this Fact Sheet to clarify how OCR will evaluate equal opportunity in a school's athletic program under Title IX when student-athletes receive NIL-related compensation and benefits.4

Part One of this Fact Sheet describes the Title IX requirements to provide equal athletic opportunity based on sex. Part Two provides a brief background on NIL agreements. Part Three clarifies that Title IX's requirement that a school provide equivalent benefits, opportunities, and treatment in the components of the school's athletic program covers any benefits, opportunities, and treatment that a school provides related to NIL activities (particularly publicity and support services). Part Four explains that compensation from a school for use of a student-athlete's NIL

^{1 20} U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

² Throughout this Fact Sheet, "school" is used generally to refer to elementary, secondary, and postsecondary educational institutions that are recipients of Federal financial assistance. This Fact Sheet uses the term "third party" to refer to an outside organization or individual, which includes a Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) collective. ³ 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.41(c) and 106.37(c). See also U.S. Dep't of Health, Educ., and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, A Policy Interpretation: Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics (1979 Policy Interpretation), 44 Fed. Reg. 71,413 (Dec. 11, 1979), https://tile.loc.gov/storage-services/service/ll/fedreg/fr044/fr044239/fr044239.pdf. ⁴ The general principles of this Fact Sheet apply to both intercollegiate athletics and interscholastic athletics, although NIL activities less frequently involve K-12 schools. The general principles also apply regardless of what athletic association a school is a member of (e.g., National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA), National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA), National Junior College Athletic Association (NJCAA), a state high school athletic association).

The Department's Title IX regulations assume that the receipt of financial assistance does not transform students, including student-athletes, into employees. Compare 34 C.F.R. § 106.37 (requirements regarding financial assistance for students), with 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.51-61 (requirements regarding employment). The analysis offered in this Fact Sheet operates under that same assumption. If the legal landscape around this issue changes, OCR would reevaluate this position.

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

qualifies as athletic financial assistance which, under Title IX, must be made available to male and female student-athletes in a manner that is substantially proportionate to the number of students of each sex participating in interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics at that school. Finally, Part Five addresses NIL agreements between student-athletes and third parties.

OCR evaluates each matter on a case-by-case basis with due regard for the unique facts presented by each case.

1. Title IX and athletic opportunities in school athletic programs

The Title IX regulations require schools to provide equal athletic opportunity, regardless of sex.⁵ Equal opportunity in athletic programs is assessed in three main areas⁶:

- 1. The benefits, opportunities, and treatment given to male and female athletic teams;
- 2. The athletic financial assistance, including athletic scholarships, that a school awards to student-athletes; and
- 3. A school's accommodation of the athletic interests and abilities of its students.

Benefits, opportunities, and treatment

The Title IX regulations require a school that operates an athletic program to offer equal athletic opportunity regardless of sex. OCR compares the availability, quality, and kinds of benefits, opportunities, and treatment afforded to male and female student-athletes in the major components of a school's athletic program (e.g., equipment and supplies, facilities, schedules, travel and per diem allowances, housing and dining facilities and services, publicity, and recruitment) in determining whether the school is providing equal athletic opportunities. If the compared program components are equivalent (i.e., equal or equal in effect), OCR considers a school to be in compliance with Title IX. Under this standard, identical benefits, opportunities, or treatment are not required to show that program components are equivalent, as long as the overall effect of any differences is negligible. Even if the benefits, opportunities, and treatment in the components of a school's athletic program are not equivalent in availability, quality, or kind, the school may nevertheless be in compliance with Title IX if the differences are the result

January 2025 2 of 9

⁵ 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.41(c) and 106.37(c).

⁶ See 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71414.

⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c).

⁸ See 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415 ("The Department will assess compliance with both the recruitment and the general athletic program requirements of the regulation by comparing the availability, quality and kinds of benefits, opportunities, and treatment afforded members of both sexes."); see also, e.g., Parker v. Franklin Cty. Cmty. Sch. Corp., 667 F.3d 910, 918 (7th Cir. 2012) (deferring to the 1979 Policy Interpretation and stating that the Department assesses compliance "by comparing the availability, quality and kinds of benefits, opportunities, and treatment afforded members of both sexes" (quoting 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415)); McCormick v. Sch. Dist. of Mamaroneck, 370 F.3d 275, 291-92 (2d Cir. 2004) (stating that the 1979 Policy Interpretation governs the court's inquiry and that the Department assesses compliance with "the general athletic program requirements of the regulation by comparing the availability, quality and kinds of benefits, opportunities, and treatment afforded members of both sexes" (quoting 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415)).

^{9 1979} Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415.

¹⁰ *Id*.

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

of nondiscriminatory factors.¹¹ Under such circumstances, OCR would still investigate whether any disparity in the benefits, opportunities, or treatment in the school's athletic program—that is not the result of nondiscriminatory factors—is substantial enough in and of itself to result in the denial of equal athletic opportunities with respect to individual program components or the athletic program as a whole.¹²

For example, at one school, the men's teams collectively play significantly more games on Friday nights and Saturdays than the women's teams. These times are considered primetime because attendance is higher, and the school has not offered a nondiscriminatory justification for the scheduling of games in this manner. OCR may find that the school is not providing equal athletic opportunity to members of the men's and women's teams with respect to scheduling games, as required by 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c)(3).

At another school, there are multiple locker rooms for the men's athletic teams while there is only one locker room for the women's athletic teams. The location of the women's locker room is further away from their practice and competition facilities than the location of the men's locker rooms. The women's soccer, field hockey, and lacrosse teams share a practice field, while each men's team uses a practice field designated for each sport. The school has not offered a nondiscriminatory justification for the provision of locker rooms and practice facilities in this manner. OCR may find that the school is not providing equal athletic opportunity to members of the men's and women's athletic teams with respect to provision of locker rooms, practice facilities, and competitive facilities, as required by 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c)(7).

The application of Title IX's requirements regarding equal athletic opportunity in the components of a school's athletic program to the NIL context is discussed in Part Three.

Athletic financial assistance

If a school awards athletic financial assistance, the Title IX regulations require the school to "provide reasonable opportunities for such awards for members of each sex in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics."¹³ The

January 2025 3 of 9

¹¹ For example, OCR has recognized that certain aspects of athletic programs may not be equivalent based on sex because of unique factors related to the operation of particular sports, such as the nature/replacement of equipment and the nature/maintenance of facilities required for competition. If a school is meeting sport-specific needs equivalently in the men's and women's programs, OCR will likely find the differences in particular program components to be justifiable. OCR has also recognized that, in certain circumstances, the operation of a competitive event may give rise to special demands or imbalances in particular program components, due to the costs and support needed to manage events with large crowds (historically associated with football and men's basketball). As long as any special demands are met to an equivalent degree for both men's and women's teams, OCR may find these differences to be nondiscriminatory. Under Title IX, the school must use sex-neutral criteria to determine the levels of event management support and must not limit the potential for women's athletic events to rise in spectator appeal. See 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415-16.

¹² See id. at 71,417 (stating that OCR considers, in part, "[w]hether disparities of a substantial and unjustified nature exist in the benefits, treatment, services, or opportunities afforded male and female athletes in the institution's program as a whole" and "[w]hether disparities in benefits, treatment, services, or opportunities in individual segments of the program are substantial enough in and of themselves to deny equality of athletic opportunity").

¹³ 34 C.F.R. § 106.37(c).

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

regulations do not require the same number of awards for male and female student-athletes or that individual awards be of equal value.

When evaluating a school's compliance with the Title IX regulations, OCR assesses whether the total amount of athletic financial assistance made available by the school to men and women is substantially proportionate to the number of students of each sex participating in interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics at that school. 14 OCR may find a school to be in compliance with Title IX if a disparity may be explained by legitimate nondiscriminatory factors, such as actions taken to promote athletic program development, legitimate efforts undertaken to comply with Title IX requirements, and unexpected fluctuations in the participation rates of males and females. 15 In assessing compliance with 34 C.F.R. § 106.37(c), OCR includes the amount of all compensation and other financial assistance provided by a school to its student-athletes when calculating the total amount of athletic financial assistance made available to men and women at a school.

Part Four of this Fact Sheet addresses how Title IX's athletic financial assistance requirements apply to compensation and other financial assistance that a school provides for use of a studentathlete's NIL.

Meeting students' athletic interests and abilities

In determining whether equal opportunities in an athletic program are available, the Title IX regulations also require a school that operates an athletic program to effectively accommodate the athletic interests and abilities of its male and female students. This Title IX athletics requirement is not discussed in this Fact Sheet. 16

2. NIL agreements in school athletic programs

An NIL agreement is a contract that allows a student-athlete to control the use of their NIL and receive compensation for it.¹⁷ NIL collectives are organizations typically created by booster clubs, fans, alumni, and/or businesses to develop, fund, and facilitate NIL opportunities for student-athletes. 18 Until recently, student-athletes were prohibited from receiving any compensation based on their athletic ability, including "from boosters, companies seeking endorsements, or would-be licensors of the athlete's name, image, and likeness." Following several court decisions striking down limitations on NIL activities and other compensation for student-athletes, 20 the NIL landscape has continued to evolve. States, schools, and athletic

4 of 9 January 2025

¹⁴ See id.; see also 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415.

¹⁵ See 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415.

¹⁶ For information on the three-part test that OCR uses to determine whether a school is effectively accommodating the interests and abilities of its male and female students, please see 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,417-18.

¹⁷ See O'Bannon v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, 802 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2015).

¹⁸ See Tennessee v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, 718 F. Supp. 3d 756, 759-60 (E.D. Tenn. 2024); Internal Revenue Service, Whether Operation of an NIL Collective Furthers an Exempt Purpose Under Section 501(c)(3) (June 9, 2023), https://www.irs.gov/pub/lanoa/am-2023-004-508v.pdf.

¹⁹ O'Bannon, 802 F.3d at 1055.

²⁰ See, e.g., O'Bannon, 802 F.3d at 1078-79 (holding that the NCAA must permit its member schools to award grants-in-aid up to the full cost of attendance to their student-athletes); Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n v. Alston, 594

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

associations have responded to the increased interest in NIL activities by enacting laws or policies, which regulate NIL activities involving student-athletes.²¹ As student-athletes take advantage of new opportunities to benefit from their NIL, it is important for schools to understand how Title IX may apply to these benefits.

3. Benefits, opportunities, and treatment provided by a school to assist its studentathletes in obtaining and managing NIL agreements (with schools or third parties)

Schools remain responsible for ensuring that they are offering equal athletic opportunities in their athletic programs, including in the NIL context. A school may violate Title IX if the school

U.S. 69 (2021) (upholding a permanent injunction barring the NCAA from limiting education-related compensation or benefits that member conferences or schools could provide to student-athletes); Tennessee v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, 718 F. Supp. 3d at 766 (issuing a preliminary injunction enjoining the NCAA "from enforcing the NCAA Interim NIL Policy, the NCAA Bylaws, or any other authority to the extent such authority prohibits studentathletes from negotiating compensation for NIL with any third-party entity").

²¹ See, e.g., Ark. Code Ann. § 4-75-13 (2021) (student-athletes have the right to enter into a contract and receive compensation for the commercial use of the student-athlete's publicity rights); Fla. Stat. § 1006.74 (2020) (an intercollegiate athlete may earn compensation for the commercial use of their name, image, or likeness commensurate with market value, but such compensation may not be provided in exchange for athletic performance or attendance at a particular postsecondary institution and may only be provided by a third party unaffiliated with the student-athlete's postsecondary institution); Ill. Comp. Stat. act no. 190. sec. no. 110 (2021) (student-athletes have the right to earn compensation commensurate with market value for the use of their name, image, likeness, or voice while enrolled in a postsecondary institution, student-athletes may not earn compensation in exchange for their athletic ability or participation in intercollegiate athletics or sports competition or willingness to attend a postsecondary institution); Tenn. Code Ann. § 49-7-2802(a) (2024) (an intercollegiate athlete may earn compensation and perform diligence for the use of their name, image, and likeness, a postsecondary institution and its affiliated foundations shall not compensate an intercollegiate athlete for their name, image, or likeness unless expressly permitted by Federal law, a court order, or the postsecondary institution's athletic association); NCAA, DI Council approves NIL reforms, permits school assistance with NIL activity (Apr. 17, 2024), https://www.ncaa.org/news/2024/4/17/media-center-di-council-approves-nil-reforms-permits-school-assistancewith-nil-activity.aspx (adopting a proposal that allows Division I schools to assist in NIL activities for student-

athletes, including identifying NIL opportunities and facilitating deals between student-athletes and third parties); NJCAA Handbook - Bylaws, at Article V, Section 4, D.3.c.i,

https://d2o2figo6ddd0g.cloudfront.net/0/q/spevi1jotzaysv/NJCAA Handbook - Bylaws 08-05-24.pdf (member institutions may allow student-athletes to receive NIL compensation, as long as it complies with applicable law and there is an exchange of good or services—but representatives of the institution cannot directly pay the athletes, and the NIL compensation cannot be contingent upon enrollment or based on athletic performance); NAIA, Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Tips & Best Practices, https://www.naia.org/name-image-likeness/archivelegislative/NIL-FAQ-Document.pdf (student-athletes have the right to profit off their NIL and must report any NIL compensation to their athletic director; an NIL agreement must contain a quid pro quo; institutions have the right to restrict NIL agreements that they view as a conflict of interest with their mission or current sponsorship agreements); California Interscholastic Federation Article 20 Rule 212 (a student-athlete may participate in a commercial endorsement, but may not wear a school team uniform or any identifying school insignia while appearing in any advertisement, promotional activity, or endorsement for any commercial product or service and may not lend their name and team affiliation for purposes of commercial endorsement); Colorado High School Athletics Association (CHSAA) 200.12 (student-athletes are permitted to monetize their name, image, and likeness so long as there is no affiliation with a CHSAA member school); Florida High School Athletic Association (FHSAA) Rule 9.9 (student-athletes may profit from the use of their name, image, and likeness provided they comply with FHSAA Bylaw 9.9, permissible activities include commercial endorsements, promotional activities, social media presence, product, or service endorsements, student-athletes are prohibited from monetizing their name, image, and likeness with the use of their school's uniform, equipment, logo, name, proprietary patents, products,

5 of 9 January 2025

and/or copyrights associated with an FHSAA member school, unless granted authorization by the school).

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

fails to provide equivalent benefits, opportunities, and treatment in the components of the school's athletic program that relate to NIL activities. Thus, schools must be mindful of their Title IX obligations regarding the components of their athletic programs as they navigate the NIL landscape. These obligations apply regardless of whether student-athletes ultimately secure NIL benefits through their school or with third parties.

Publicity

In determining whether a school is complying with Title IX as to the program component of publicity, OCR examines, among other factors, the equivalence for male and female student-athletes of: (1) the availability and quality of sports information personnel; (2) access to other publicity resources for men's and women's teams; and (3) the quantity and quality of promotional devices that feature the men's and women's teams.²² This examination may include consideration of the coverage for men's and women's teams and student-athletes on a school's website, in its social media postings, and in its publicity materials (e.g., posters, press guides, recruitment brochures, game programs, pocket schedules). OCR considers the school's efforts to provide equivalent publicity to its men's and women's athletic teams, recognizing that television, newspapers, and other forms of media may not be equally responsive to the school's efforts to provide equivalent publicity.

Even if publicity is not equivalent based on sex, a school may comply with Title IX if the differences are the result of nondiscriminatory factors. For example, the unique circumstances of a particular student-athlete (e.g., a prospective Olympic athlete), team (e.g., the reigning state/national champion), or competitive event (e.g., a national competition) may cause unique demands or imbalances related to publicity.

A school's obligation to provide equivalent publicity based on sex continues to apply in the context of NIL. For example, if a school is not providing equivalent coverage for women's teams and student-athletes on its website, in its social media postings, or in its publicity materials, these student-athletes may be less likely to attract and secure NIL opportunities. In addition, if a school is publicizing student-athletes for the purposes of obtaining NIL opportunities, OCR would examine whether the school is providing equivalent publicity for male and female student-athletes (including by examining the quantity and quality of publications and other promotional devices that feature the men's and women's athletic teams).

Support Services

Some schools offer support services to assist their student-athletes in securing NIL opportunities. Title IX's requirement that schools provide equal athletic opportunity based on sex includes any support services that a school provides to its student-athletes.²³ In determining whether a school is complying with Title IX as to support services, OCR examines, among other factors, the equivalence of the amount of administrative assistance provided to the men's and women's teams.²⁴

January 2025 6 of 9

²² 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c); 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,417.

²³ See 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c); 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,417.

²⁴ See 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,417.

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

A school's obligation to provide equivalent support services continues to apply in the context of NIL activities, including any services that schools provide to assist student-athletes in securing or managing NIL opportunities.²⁵ For example, if a school provides training sessions to its student-athletes on brand building, finances, reporting, entrepreneurship, or similar topics, OCR would examine whether the school is providing this training equally to men's and women's teams. Likewise, if athletics department employees assist the school's student-athletes by obtaining and negotiating NIL agreements, OCR would examine whether the school is providing this assistance equally to student-athletes on men's and women's teams.

4. NIL agreements between schools and their student-athletes as a form of athletic financial assistance

As part of determining whether a school is providing equal athletic opportunity, OCR considers the total amount of athletic financial assistance made available by the school. Compensation provided by a school for the use of a student-athlete's NIL constitutes athletic financial assistance under Title IX because athletic financial assistance includes any financial assistance and other aid provided by the school to a student-athlete that is connected to a student's athletic participation; it is not limited to scholarships or grants. Thus, the various forms of financial assistance that could be provided by a school to student-athletes include, but are not limited to, scholarships, cost-of-attendance awards, other types of compensation and financial assistance permitted to be provided by schools following a federal court injunction, and compensation

https://surveys.ope.ed.gov/athletics2023/wwwroot/documents/2023 EADA Users Guide.pdf.

Collection at 53 (Sept. 2023),

January 2025 7 of 9

²⁵ See id. (stating that OCR will consider, in part, "[w]hether disparities of a substantial and unjustified nature exist in the benefits, treatment, services, or opportunities afforded male and female athletes in the institution's program as a whole" and "[w]hether disparities in benefits, treatment, services, or opportunities in individual segments of the program are substantial enough in and of themselves to deny equality of athletic opportunity"). ²⁶ See 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415 (noting that athletic financial assistance also includes workrelated aid and loans); Letter from Catherine E. Lhamon to Marcia D. Greenberger and Deborah Slaner Larkin (Nov. 15, 2015) (stating that cost-of-attendance awards constitute athletic financial assistance under Title IX and noting that athletic financial assistance also includes exhausted eligibility awards and summer aid), https://www.ed.gov/sites/ed/files/about/offices/list/ocr/correspondence/stakeholders/20151112-cost-attendance-athscholarships.pdf. This approach is also consistent with postsecondary schools' reporting obligations under the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act (EADA), 20 U.S.C. § 1092(e). Separate from Title IX, the EADA requires postsecondary institutions to report the amount of athletically related student aid provided to men's, women's, and coed teams. See also 34 C.F.R. § 668.47. The User's Guide for the EADA Data Collection explains that "athletically related student aid is any scholarship, grant, or other form of financial assistance, offered by an institution, the terms of which require the recipient to participate in a program of intercollegiate athletics at an institution." U.S. Dep't of Educ., Office of Postsecondary Educ., User's Guide for the Equity in Athletic Disclosure Act Web-Based Data

²⁷ The *NCAA v. Alston* case involved a challenge to the NCAA's restrictions on providing college athletes participating in Division I women's and men's basketball and Football Bowl Subdivision football with non-cash compensation for academic-related purposes. The court ruled that these restrictions violated antitrust law and issued a permanent injunction. *See In re Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n Athletic Grant-in-Aid Cap Antitrust Litigation*, No. 14-md-02541, 2019 WL 1593939, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 8, 2019). Per the injunction, the NCAA can limit cash or cash equivalent payments to student-athletes for academic achievements (e.g., graduating or maintaining a specific GPA) so long as the limit is not lower than the total amount a student-athlete can earn in athletics participation awards. The NCAA cannot limit education-related benefits to student-athletes, including computers, science equipment, musical instruments, and other items not currently included in the cost of attendance but related to the pursuit of a student's educational studies, as well as post-eligibility scholarships for undergraduate, graduate, and

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

from schools for use of a student-athlete's NIL.²⁸ When a school provides athletic financial assistance in forms other than scholarships or grants, including compensation for the use of a student-athlete's NIL, such assistance also must be made proportionately available to male and female athletes.29

5. NIL agreements between student-athletes and third parties

As discussed in Part Four, compensation provided by a school for the use of a student-athlete's NIL constitutes athletic financial assistance under Title IX. By contrast, OCR does not view compensation provided by a third party (rather than a school) to a student-athlete for use of their NIL as constituting athletic financial assistance awarded by the school that must comply with 34 C.F.R. § 106.37(c).

However, OCR has long recognized that a school has Title IX obligations when funding from private sources, including private donations and funds raised by booster clubs, creates disparities based on sex in a school's athletic program or a program component.³⁰ The fact that funds are provided by a private source does not relieve a school of its responsibility to treat all of its student-athletes in a nondiscriminatory manner.³¹ It is possible that NIL agreements between student-athletes and third parties will create similar disparities and therefore trigger a school's Title IX obligations. Because these NIL agreements vary widely and continue to evolve and because the application of Title IX's equal athletic opportunity requirements is a fact-specific inquiry, this Fact Sheet does not offer specific guidance on Title IX's application in the context of compensation provided for the use of a student athlete's NIL by a third party, including an NIL collective.

8 of 9 January 2025

vocational programs at any school, tutoring, study-abroad expenses, and paid post-eligibility internships. The Supreme Court clarified that the NCAA could establish rules and criteria for these benefits, e.g., a "no Lamborghini rule." Alston, 594 U.S. at 106.

²⁸ This refers to compensation from NIL agreements between a school and a student-athlete and does not include payments from NIL agreements between a third party and a student-athlete. See Part Five in this Fact Sheet for information regarding how Title IX applies to NIL agreements between a third party and a student-athlete. ²⁹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.37(c); 1979 Policy Interpretation, 44 Fed. Reg. at 71,415 ("When financial assistance is provided in forms other than grants, the distribution of non-grant assistance will also be compared to determine whether equivalent benefits are proportionately available to male and female athletes.").

³⁰ See, e.g., OCR's Letter to Chief State School Officers, Title IX Obligations in Athletics (1975), https://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/holmes ("[T]he fact that a particular segment of an athletic program is supported by funds received from various other sources (such as student fees, general revenues, gate receipts, alumni donations, booster clubs, and non-profit foundations) does not remove it from the reach of the statute and hence of the regulatory requirements.").

³¹ See Chalenor v. Univ. of N.D., 291 F.3d 1042, 1048 (8th Cir. 2002) ("[A] public university cannot avoid its legal obligations by substituting funds from private sources for funds from tax revenues."); Cohen v. Brown Univ., 809 F. Supp. 978, 996 (D.R.I. 1992) ("[A]ll monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation, must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c). Thus, Title IX covers all Sports Foundation funds allocated to Brown athletics. This position is consistent with the Investigator's Manual, which warns that where 'booster clubs' or other fundraising organizations help only members of one sex, the university must balance out these differences." (citing U.S. Dep't of Educ., Office for Civil Rights, Title IX Athletics Investigator's Manual (1990)); Daniels v. Sch. Bd. of Brevard Cnty., 985 F. Supp. 1458, 1462 (M.D. Fla. 1997) (rejecting school district's argument that it cannot be held responsible for unequal booster club fundraising and holding that "[i]t is the Defendant's responsibility to ensure equal athletic opportunities, in accordance with Title IX").

Fact Sheet: Ensuring Equal Opportunity Based on Sex in School Athletic Programs in the Context of Name, Image, and Likeness (NIL) Activities

For more information on benefits, opportunities, and treatment in a school's athletic program, you may find it helpful to review OCR's resources on Supporting Equal Opportunity in School Athletic Programs, Title IX and Athletic Opportunities in K-12 Schools, and Title IX and Athletic Opportunities in Colleges and Universities.

Anyone who believes that a school has engaged in discrimination may file a complaint with OCR. Information about filing a complaint is available on OCR's website at How to File a Discrimination Complaint with the Office for Civil Rights. Information about OCR's process for evaluating, investigating, and resolving complaints is available at How the Office for Civil Rights Handles Complaints.

To request language access services or resources, which may include oral technical assistance or written translation of Department information, free of charge, contact OCR@ed.gov. If you need more information about interpretation or translation services, call 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339). To request documents in alternate formats such as Braille or large print, contact the Department at 202-260-0818 or of eeos@ed.gov.

This Fact Sheet does not have the force and effect of law and is not meant to be binding, beyond what is required by statutory and regulatory requirements. All enforcement determinations made by OCR are based on the particular factual circumstances presented in each individual case.

9 of 9 January 2025

\$31.40

\$2324K504056-06







PRIORITY MAIL **EXPRESS®**

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL

FLAT RATE ENVELOPE

ONE RATE ANY WEIGHT RECEIVED

To schedule free Package Pickup, scan the QR code.



USPS.COM/PICKUP





EXPRESS®



PHONE (917) 513-5541 FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) Leigh Ernst Friestedt

c/o Charlotte North

40 Never St. #15

New York, NY 10013

- No Saturday Delivery (delivered next business day)
- Sunday/Holiday Delivery Required (additional fee, where available*

TO: (PLEASE PRINT)

The Honorable Candia Wilken Ronald V. Pelloms Federal Building a C/o Class Action Clerk U.S. Courthouse



For pickup or USPS Tracking™, visit USPS.com or call 800-222-1811.







LABEL 11-B, NOVEMBER 2023



Federal Agency Acct.	No. or Postal Servi	ce™ Acct. No	ACTUAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
times		-		
ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVIC	E USE ONLY)			
1-Day	2-Day		Military	☐ DPO
PO ZIP Code	Scheduled Celivery (MM/DD/YY)	Date	Postage	1.
10013	1/3	1/25	\$ 3/.	40
Date Accepted (MM/DD/YY)	Scheduled Delivery	Time	Insurance Fee	COD Fee
1/20/25	□ 2.00 PM		\$	\$
Time Accepted			Return Receipt Fee	Live Animal Transportation Fee
4:20			\$	\$
Special Handling/Fragile	Sunday/Holiday Pre	emium Fee	Total Postage & Fees	
\$	\$			
Weight Flat Rate	Acceptance Emplo	yee Initials	5.1	
lbs. ozs.	N		\$ 31	40
DELIVERY (POSTAL SER		V		
Delivery Attempt (MM/DD/YY) T	ime □ AM □ PM	Employee S	Signature	
Delivery Attempt (MM/DD/YY) T	ime	Employee S	Signature	

RDC 07