1	B. ROBERT ALLARD (#175592) LAUREN A. CERRI (#282524)		
2	MARK J. BOSKOVIČH (#298688) CORSIGLIA MCMAHON & ALLARD LLP		
3	96 North Third Street, Suite 620 San Jose, California 95112		
4	(408) 289-1417 Fax: (408) 289-8127		
5	rallard@cmalaw.net		
6	lcerri@cmalaw.net mboskovich@cmalaw.net		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE		
10		,	
11	ARIANA SMITH,	Case No.	
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
13	vs.	(1) Sexual Abuse of a Minor	
14	UNITED STATES SWIMMING, INC., a corporation; SEAN HUTCHISON, an	(2) Negligence(3) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	
15	individual; AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC., a Washington corporation;		
16	WESTERN ZONE SWIMMING, KING AQUATIC CLUB, PACIFIC NORTHWEST		
17	SWIMMING, MARK SCHUBERT, an individual, and DOES 1 through 100,		
18	inclusive,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	Plaintiff ARIANA SMITH alleges against UNITED STATES SWIMMING, INC., a		
22	corporation; SEAN HUTCHISON, an individual; AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.,		
23	a Washington corporation; WESTERN ZONE SWIMMING, KING AQUATIC CLUB,		
24	PACIFIC NORTHWEST SWIMMING, MARK SCHUBERT, an individual, and DOES 1		
25	through 100, inclusive, as follows:		
26	<u>COMMON ALLEGATIONS</u>		
27	1. California resident ARIANA SMITH, a former world champion swimmer under		
28	USA SWIMMING, was groomed for sexual abuse by her coach, SEAN HUTCHISON		

4

11

12

10

13 14

15

1617

18 19

2021

2223

24

26

25

2728

["HUTCHISON"] from the time she was 13 years old. [DOB June 1, 1989.] HUTCHISON began sexually molesting plaintiff at the age of 16 years, and thereafter kept her in a dominated relationship for years after she reached the age of majority. Beginning at the latest in 2005, when the plaintiff was 15 and 16 years of age, Defendant UNITED STATES SWIMMING, INC. ["USA SWIMMING"] was well aware that HUTCHISON was involved in an inappropriate relationship with plaintiff.

- 2. USA SWIMMING officials included then Executive Director Chuck Wielgus (who was primarily responsible for the sex abuse scandal that rocked USA SWIMMING beginning in 2009 due to his active attempts to cover up and conceal multiple molestation complaints against coaches, leading to his International Hall of Fame nomination being rescinded; see https://www.usatoday.com/story/sports/olympics/2014/06/02/ chuck-wielgususa-swimming-hall-of-fame/9893275/), Vice President and Board member Murray Stevens (himself the subject of multiple complaints for the sex abuse of minors; see http://concussioninc.net/?p=7176), and Club Development Director Pat Hogan (a former coach who married one of his swimmers and in early 2018 was forced to resign due to his willingness employment reference to provide positive for an admitted pedophile; see https://www.nytimes.com/2018/02/24/sports/usa-swimming-resignations.html).
- 3. USA SWIMMING officials secretly agreed that HUTCHISON should be specifically protected from the background check process due to fact that pervasive rumors of his inappropriate sexually motivated behavior towards minors such as the plaintiff would inevitably surface through contacts with prior employers. HUTCHISON was an excellent coach who produced Olympic caliber swimmers, these USA SWIMMING officials reasoned as part of their scheme, and therefore they should all "look the other way" when it came to HUTCHISON's suspected childhood sexual abuse. Thus, they deliberately manipulated the background check procedure for HUTCHISON so that a "hit" would not surface. On information and belief, Murray and Hogan were also made the beneficiaries of this manipulation such that they themselves would not be the subject of a negative background check. These officials warned others that if they spoke out against HUTCHISON they could be

liable for "slander" and/or labeled an instigator of a "witch hunt."

- 4. To ensure that this conspiracy adequately insulated successful pedophile coaches such as HUTCHISON from unwanted scrutiny, it was agreed that it's lawyers (Holme, Roberts and Owen, of which now disgraced former United States Olympic Committee CEO Scott Blackmun was a partner; see https://www.usatoday.com/story/sports/olympics/2018/02/28/u-s-olympic-committee-ceo-scott-blackmun-resigns/382569002/) would provide the appropriate "review" of all screens, all allegedly under the attorney client privilege, so that the "good ones" would not draw any undue negative public attention.
- 5. By the start of 2006, it was widely known within USA SWIMMING leadership's group that HUTCHISON was engaged in a sexual relationship with plaintiff, then 16 years old. Despite the "open secret" status of the relationship between HUTCHISON and plaintiff as of the 2006 Pan Pacific Swimming Championships and the 2007 World Championships (where MARK SCHUBERT was the Head US Swim Coach) no one reported this reasonable suspicion of child abuse or endangerment to the authorities, no one did anything to protect plaintiff as a minor from inappropriate grooming and ultimate molestation, and no one did anything to repudiate HUTCHISON's conduct to halt and/or arrest the ongoing trauma which continued well into plaintiff's adulthood.
- 6. Defendant USA SWIMMING is a corporation doing business throughout the United States. It is the National Governing Board for the sport of swimming and administers competitive swimming for the Olympics. In its Supplemental Information for Form 990 to the Internal Revenue Service, USA SWIMMING boasts a "Safe Sport" program to reduce the risk of abuse by increasing awareness through, *inter alia*, mandatory athlete protection training, demonstrating its exerted control over sexual abuse of its minor members as well as control over its "Team" of National and Olympic coaches. https://usaswimming.org/docs/default-source/accounting/2016-form-990.pdf?sfvrsn=2. USA SWIMMING is responsible for formulating, implementing and/or enforcing appropriate rules, regulations, policies and/or procedures for Local Swimming Committees ["LSC's"] throughout the United States, including California. It maintains a list of permanently banned coaches, many of which were from

California. It reported more than \$15,000 in expenses for professional fundraising services conducted in the State of California and claims to provide coaching clinics in California. [*Ibid.*] It represented in said tax form that it has written policies and procedures governing the activities of its affiliates to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes, which affiliates include those in California, and California is a state in which it acknowledged the obligation to file its Form 990. USA SWIMMING has purposefully availed itself of the benefits of conducting business in California. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a member of USA SWIMMING. USA SWIMMING has a long and sordid history of covering up for known pedophile coaches (see https://www.ocregister.com/2018/02/16/investigation-usa-swimming-ignored-sexual-abuse-for-decades/) and for this reason has been the subject of two separate congressional investigations (see https://swimswam.com/congressman-george-miller-writes-letter-fbi-closer-scrutiny-usa-swimming-reports/, as it relates to the 2014 investigation and https://www.ocregister.com/2018/01/26/congress-investigating-usa-swimmings-handling-of-sex-abuse-cases/ as it relates to the one commenced in early 2018).

- 7. Defendant AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. is and/or was a Washington for-profit corporation governed by defendant SEAN HUTCHISON. Said defendant managed the Mt. Rainier pool where plaintiff was first subjected to SEAN HUTCHISON's grooming tactics, which hosted KING AQUATIC CLUB.
- 8. Defendant WESTERN ZONE SWIMMING is an entity of unknown organization which is the regional governing body for competitive swimming on behalf of USA SWIMMING. Said entity is responsible for the Zone Board of Review, charged with review and adjudication of complaints and protests regarding operation of swimming within the Western Zone. The Local Swim Committees overseen by WESTERN ZONE SWIMMING include defendant PACIFIC NORTHWEST SWIMMING, Central California, Pacific Swimming, Sierra Nevada Swimming, San Diego Imperial, and Southern California. It has thus subjected itself to the jurisdiction of the California courts.
- 9. Defendant PACIFIC NORTHWEST SWIMMING ["PNS"] is a Local Swimming Committee member of USA SWIMMING, *i.e.*, it is the local governing body on

behalf of USA SWIMMING in the northwest area responsible for administering USA SWIMMING's activities pursuant to rules, regulations, policies and procedures formulated, implemented and enforced by USA SWIMMING. PNS sponsors events held in California, and thereby avails itself of the benefits of California warranting the exercise of jurisdiction over it. Plaintiff was a member of PNS and was entitled to its protection against sexually abusive coaches at all relevant times via, inter alia, its enforcement of rules governing coaches' conduct, which were non-existent at its local affiliate, KING AQUATIC CLUB.

- 10. Defendant KING AQUATIC CLUB is an entity of unknown organization requiring the payment of dues for membership. Said defendant promotes its swimmers in regional and national efforts to expand its membership. https://www.teamunify.com/SubTabGeneric.jsp?team=king&stabid_=83. Defendant claims to be ranked as the "number one swimming club in the Pacific Northwest and top ranked in the USA for more than 40 years." *Ibid.* Plaintiff ARIANA SMITH was, at all relevant times, a member of KING AQUATIC CLUB. Said defendant purposefully availed itself of the benefits of California by sending its swimmers to California for competitions, including ARIANA SMITH for the US Nationals held in Irvine, California in August of 2005 and 2006 [when plaintiff was still a minor.] Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that KING AQUATIC CLUB, a member club of USA SWIMMING, is owned by defendant SEAN HUTCHISON, as stated by USA SWIMMING.
- 11. Defendant MARK SCHUBERT ["SCHUBERT"] is a resident of Orange County, California. He was USA SWIMMING's National Team head coach from June 2006 until November 2010. On behalf of USA SWIMMING, SCHUBERT was present and/or coached plaintiff in international events during the 2006 Pan Pacific Swimming Championships in Vancouver, Canada, the 2007 World Championships in Australia and the 2009 World Championships in Rome, Italy. During these events, HUTCHISON was observed by SCHUBERT and other USA SWIMMING officials hugging, interacting and inappropriately touching plaintiff in a way that aroused pervasive comments among them (see https://www.mercurynews.com/2018/

hutchisons-misconduct-against-ariana-kukors/) Eventually, their inappropriate sexual relationship became an "open secret" known to virtually all who were then present. Despite being plaintiff's coaches, SCHUBERT and his fellow USA Swimming coaches did not report suspected child abuse to the legal authorities as required by law.

- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, on multiple occasions USA SWIMMING was actually and/or constructively aware of HUTCHISON's inappropriate relationship with plaintiff while she was still a minor, but USA SWIMMING did nothing in response to that report. Its administrators, board members or employees are mandated reporters under the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act ["the Act"], Penal Code §11165.7(a)(7) and/or (8).
- 13. In 2010, MARK SCHUBERT, for allegedly the first time, expressly reported to USA Swimming about the inappropriate relationship between HUTCHISON and plaintiff. Still USA SWIMMING did not report suspected child abuse to the authorities. Instead, USA SWIMMING, as part of its longstanding attempts to cover up for and protect him, undertook its own "investigation" for HUTCHISON's violation of its Code of Conduct, which was overseen by its Safe Sport Director. Ms. Woessner had absolutely no specialized training in childhood sexual abuse and was woefully unqualified to conduct any kind of an investigation into allegations of suspected molestation. Worse, as part of the incestuous culture that had permeated USA Swimming, Ms. Woessner not only had a prior intimate sexual relationship with HUTCHISON but also had such a relationship with at least one other USA SWIMMING swim coach.
- 14. Ms. Woessner's prior close physical and sexual relationship with HUTCHISON rendered her biased and completely unable to conduct any semblance of an objective inquiry against her ex-lover. (For failing to disclose this obvious conflict of interest, Ms. Woessner was terminated from USA Swimming in February of 2018; see https://abcnews.go.com/US/usa-swimming-directors-susan-woessner-pat-hogan-resign/story?id=53301342). The "investigation" consisted merely of short interviews of the perpetrator and the plaintiff (who predictably denied the abuse since she was in the midst of an abusive relationship with

2.1

HUTCHISON), and was thereupon closed to protect USA SWIMMING's interests and/or those of HUTCHISON at the expense of plaintiff's health and best interests. To ensure that all negative attention concerning HUTCHISON and plaintiff came to a swift end, USA SWIMMING, through Mr. Wielgus, went to the extraordinary length of making several demonstrably false and misleading claims in a subsequent memo to, *inter alia*, all LSC Chairs and all member coaches that SCHUBERT "never presented USA Swimming with any incriminating information regarding Sean Hutchison (lie #1)," that it hired "an independent investigator (lie #2) to conduct an investigation (lie #3) into the Hutchison case," that the alleged investigator concluded that "there was no evidence of an inappropriate relationship between Coach Hutchison and an adult athlete" [ignoring any aspect while she was a minor], and stating that it "released the findings because Sean [HUTCHISON] had been vilified in the press and we felt the right thing to do was to make our findings public" (see <a href="https://www.swimmingworldmagazine.com/news/usa-swimming-investigation-of-coach-sean-hutchison-finds-no-inappropriate-relationship/).

- Olympic swimmer that he, along with another Olympic coach, engaged in sexually inappropriate behavior with her and her brother, HUTCHISON was allowed to remain in good standing with a completely clean records a member of USA Swimming as owner of the member club KING AQUATIC, per USA SWIMMING's publication. No report was made to the police. In the words of none other than SCHUBERT, USA SWIMMING "failed miserably" in its so-called "investigation" concerning known childhood sexual abuse committed by HUTCHISON against plaintiff (see https://www.swimmingworldmagazine.com/news/former-usa-coach-mark-schubert-says-hutchison-kukors-rumors-were-reported/).
- 16. The true names and/or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive are unknown to plaintiff at this time, and plaintiff therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each

of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is responsible in some manner or is otherwise legally liable to plaintiff for the injuries complained of herein.

17. At all times herein mentioned, some or all of the defendants was an agent, servant, employee, partner, alter ego, joint venture, franchisee, aider and abettor, and/or co-conspirator, and engaged in a common or common enterprises with each of the remaining defendants herein, and was at all relevant times acting within the course and scope of said agency, service, employment, partnership, joint venture, franchise, unlawful enterprise, conspiracy and/or other lawful or unlawful conduct as herein alleged.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Sexual Abuse of a Minor

As and for a First Cause of Action, Plaintiff alleges against defendants USA SWIMMING, SEAN HUTCHISON, AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC., KING AQUATIC CLUB and DOES 1 through 5 as follows:

- 18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all paragraphs of the Common Allegations, as though set forth in full herein.
- 19. Prior to 2009 [when he moved to California], defendant HUTCHISON was a USA SWIMMING approved, certified and/or registered swim coach for its LSC, KING AQUATIC CLUB, entrusted with the care of plaintiff for swimming events. HUTCHISON owed plaintiff a duty to conduct himself appropriately so as not to inflict harm upon her. At all relevant times, said defendant was employed, retained, and/or acting as the agent for USA SWIMMING, AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC., KING AQUATIC CLUB and/or DOES 1 through 5.
- 20. As a minor and member entrusted to the care of USA SWIMMING and KING AQUATIC CLUB (managed by AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.) and DOES 1 through 5, a special relationship existed between said defendants and plaintiff by which plaintiff was entitled to their protection and/or a reasonably safe environment in which to train and/or swim, free from inappropriate sexual displays, grooming behaviors, inappropriate touching, and lewd behaviors from coaches placed in a position of trust and authority over her.

25

26

27

28

1

2

- 21. Commencing in or around 2002, HUTCHISON used his position of trust and authority as plaintiff's coach to groom plaintiff for his sexual advances and/or committed lewd and lascivious acts upon plaintiff and/or sexually abused, molested, and impermissibly touched plaintiff. For example, during the Olympic trials of 2004 held in Long Beach in California, HUTCHISON publically displayed hugging on the pool deck and had plaintiff in his room engaging in in-depth conversations. That conduct was repeated in 2005 during a travel meet [without her parents], where the hug involved his hands all over her back and long conversations in his room. In the August 2005 Nationals event held in Irvine, California [when plaintiff was only 16], HUTCHISON was massaging her back and shoulders while going over technique, placed his hands on her leg, had her sitting on his lap, and ensconced her in his hotel room alone with the door closed. In the fall of 2005, HUTCHISON put plaintiff's hand on his pants to feel his erect penis, and touched her buttocks many times in a sexual manner on the pool deck, observable by other coaches and/or swimmers. At the August 2006 U.S. Nationals held in Irvine, California, HUTCHISON put his hand inside plaintiff's pants and sexually molested her. This was repeated during the 2006 training in Newport Beach, California, and again during the 2006 Pan Pacific Swimming Championships in Victoria, British Columbia. By that point, HUTCHISON's relationship with plaintiff was an open secret, as they would go on long walks together with much kissing and molestation of this minor. HUTCHISON escalated his molestation to oral sex not long after plaintiff's 17th birthday. He took many pictures of her showering naked, and was obsessed with molesting her outdoors.
- 22. Said conduct was undertaken while HUTCHISON was an agent or employee of said entity defendants and while in the course and scope of his agency or employment with said defendants, and/or said acts and omissions were ratified by said defendants. USA SWIMMING exercised control over its coaches and their relationship with swimmers. It knew, had reason to know, or was otherwise on notice of unlawful sexualized conduct by this coach, given his public displays and the rumors of a romantic relationship between coach and minor, as well as from the known circumstance by which such child abuse is enabled: travel away from parents. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that a report was submitted to USA

23. Due to HUTCHISON's position of power and control over plaintiff, and the psychological effects imposed upon her by childhood sexual abuse, plaintiff did not first discover that her psychological injury or illness occurring after the age of majority was caused by the sexual abuse until at least July of 2015. This complaint is filed within three years of that date, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §340.1.

24

25

26

27

28

///

24. As a legal result of the foregoing, plaintiff was injured in health, strength and activity, sustaining bodily injuries and shock and injury to her nervous system and person, all of which caused and continue to cause plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering; plaintiff has thereby sustained damages in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this court.

- 25. As a further legal result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was required to and did employ health care providers for examination, counseling, treatment and care, and incurred, and will continue in the future to incur, medical and incidental expenses, including counseling costs, the exact amount of which is unknown to plaintiff at this time.
- 26. As a further legal result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was prevented from working and/or suffered a loss of earning capacity. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that her inability to work and/or reduction in his earning capacity will continue in the future, thereby causing a further loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, the exact amount of which is unknown to plaintiff at this time.
- 27. Plaintiff herein anticipates serving upon defendants an offer to compromise pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §998 and California Civil Code §3291, and upon such service of said offer, is entitled to interest on the amount of any judgment obtained in favor of plaintiff, provided said judgment exceeds the amount stated in said offer, said interest to be computed from the date of service of said offer to compromise.
- 28. The acts of defendant HUTCHISON perpetrated upon plaintiff were intentional, malicious, and/or oppressive, entitling plaintiff to punitive damages against said defendant pursuant to Civil Code §3294. In addition, the acts of USA SWIMMING were fraudulent, intentional, malicious and/or oppressive within the meaning of Civil Code §3294 in, *inter alia*, disregarding complaints about HUTCHISON's misconduct at a time which may have spared plaintiff significant harm, refusing to notify legal authorities of a reasonable suspicion of child abuse in violation of statute, and/or engaging in acts designed to conceal the true facts [sham

investigation by an insider, rather than its publicized "private independent investigator," who had no experience with childhood sexual abuse, and lying about the SCHUBERT notification.] Said conduct served to perpetuate the harm upon plaintiff and were despicable and vile acts, not tolerated in civilized society as reflected in, *inter alia*, the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act.

29. Plaintiff claims attorney's fees against all defendants for enforcing an important right affecting public interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, to wit: protection of children entrusted to the care of organizations from sexual predatory conduct by their employees/agents and from child abuse via, *inter alia*, institution and implementation of means and methods to detect and deter pedophile behavior, enforcement of laws governing minors' welfare and safety, and institution of proper procedures and policies surrounding the mandatory duty to report a reasonable suspicion of child molestation or endangerment under The Act.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

As and for a Second Cause of Action, plaintiff alleges against defendants UNITED STATES SWIMMING, INC., a corporation; SEAN HUTCHISON, an individual; AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC., a Washington corporation; WESTERN ZONE SWIMMING, KING AQUATIC CLUB, PACIFIC NORTHWEST SWIMMING, MARK SCHUBERT, an individual, and DOES 1 through 90, inclusive, as follows:

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as though fully recited herein.
- 31. Said defendants, and each of them, owed plaintiff a duty to, *inter alia*, protect her from sexual assault by her coach, employ or retain suitable coaches entrusted to the care of this minor, institute and enforce appropriate policies, procedures, rules, regulations, and requirements necessary to prevent inappropriate sexual conduct by coaches, to report to the legal authorities any reasonable suspicion of child abuse, and/or to otherwise conduct themselves with due care so as to avoid injuring plaintiff.
- 32. Said defendants, and each of them, breached said duties by, *inter alia*, failing to report inappropriate behaviors to the authorities for proper investigation, failing to intervene on

plaintiff's behalf, and/or failing to implement and/or enforce proper policies and procedures for the protection of minor swimmers.

33. As a legal result of defendants' acts and/or omissions, plaintiff sustained injuries and damages as hereinbefore alleged.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

As and for a Third Cause of Action, plaintiff alleges against defendants SEAN HUTCHISON, an individual; AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC., KING AQUATIC CLUB, and DOES 91 through 100, inclusive, as follows:

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as though fully recited herein.
- 35. The conduct of said defendants as herein alleged was outrageous and was so extreme as to exceed all bounds of decency usually tolerated in a civilized community. The Act demonstrates the bounds of decency with respect to safety of children entrusted to defendants' care: *prevent* child abuse. In, *inter alia*, failing to report reasonably suspected incidents of child abuse, said defendants acted with reckless disregard of the probability that plaintiff would suffer emotional distress.
- 36. In performing such lewd and lascivious acts upon plaintiff, HUTCHISON acted with reckless disregard of the probability that plaintiff would suffer emotional distress.
- 37. As a legal result of the aforementioned acts, plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, such as fright, horror, anxiety, humiliation and mental anguish, causing her injury to her mind, spirit and body, all to her general and special damages well in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 38. Said defendants have acted despicably and with willful and conscious disregard of the rights of others, and/or have subjected plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of her rights and safety. Said defendants are charged with malice and/or oppression, entitling plaintiff to punitive damages for the sake of example and by way of punishing said defendants, as hereinbefore alleged.

///

1	///		
2	<u>PRAYER</u>		
3	WHER	EFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:	
4	1.	For all special and all other economic damages according to proof;	
5	2.	For general damages and other non-economic relief, according to proof;	
6	3.	For loss of earning capacity, according to proof	
7	4.	For prejudgment interest, as allowed by law;	
8	5.	For reasonable attorney's fees against all defendants pursuant to California	
9	Code of Civil	ivil Procedure §1021.5;	
10	6.	For reasonable attorney's fees against defendant HUTCHISON pursuant to	
11	California Co	Code of Civil Procedure §1021.4;	
12	7.	For punitive damages against defendants HUTCHISON, USA SWIMMING,	
13	INC., KING	AQUATIC CLUB and AQUATIC MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.;	
14	8.	For costs of suit herein; and,	
15	9.	For such other and further relief as the court may deem fit and proper.	
16	Dated: May	, 2018 CORSIGLIA, MCMAHON & ALLARD LLP	
17			
18		By: B. ROBERT ALLARD	
19		LAUREN A. CERRI MARK J. BOSKOVICH	
20		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			